arXiv:1108.6267v2 [math.AG] 3 May 2012

DERIVED EQUIVALENCE FOR QUANTUM SYMPLECTIC RESOLUTIONS

KEVIN MCGERTY AND THOMAS NEVINS

Abstract. Using techniques from the homotopy theory of derived categories and noncommutative algebraic geometry, we establish a general theory of derived microlocalization for quantum symplectic resolutions. In particular, our results yield a new proof of derived Beilinson-Bernstein localization and a derived version of the more recent microlocalization theorems of Gordon-Stafford [GS1, GS2] and Kashiwara-Rouquier [KR] as special cases. We also deduce a new derived microlocalization result linking cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebras with quantized Hilbert schemes of points on minimal resolutions of cyclic quotient singularities.

1. Introduction

The Localization Theorem of Beilinson-Bernstein (cf. [BB]) introduced the powerful methods of Hodge theory into the representation theory of complex semisimple Lie algebras, with spectacular consequences. More recently, there has been progress (cf. [MV], [GS1], [GS2], [KR], [BKu], [BLPW]) toward a theory of *microlocalization*, i.e. localization on (an open set of) the quantized cotangent bundle, that would apply to a broader class of interesting and important algebras, including Cherednik-type algebras.

In the present paper, we establish a general theory of derived microlocalization for many quantized symplectic resolutions, as well as more general quantized birational symplectic morphisms. First, we consider algebras obtained by quantum Hamiltonian reduction from quantizations of smooth affine symplectic varieties with group action. A principal source of examples of such quantizations comes from rings of differential operators on affine varieties with group action; the resulting Hamiltonian reductions are then rings of global twisted differential operators on algebraic stacks. Under reasonable technical hypotheses (Assumptions 3.2) that hold in examples of interest, we prove that the representation categories of such algebras are derived equivalent to microlocal derived categories on open sets in the symplectic quotient stack, whenever the natural pullback functor is cohomologically bounded. In a second direction, we describe an analogous derived localization theorem for deformation quantizations of arbitrary symplectic resolutions.

Our approach results in new proofs of known derived equivalences for enveloping algebras [BB, BG] independent of the original Beilinson-Bernstein approach. It also establishes the derived version of microlocalization of rational Cherednik algebras of type A [KR] and hypertoric enveloping algebras [BKu], and yields a new derived microlocalization theorem relating cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebras with quantizations of the Hilbert schemes $(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}^2/\Gamma})^{[n]}$ of points on minimal resolutions $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}^2/\Gamma}$ of cyclic quotient singularities. Along the way, we develop many basic properties of the microlocal derived categories, including compact generation, indecomposability, and, in the case of quantizations of cotangent bundles, an equivalence between the two natural definitions of derived categories.

1.1. **Microlocalization Theorem.**

Suppose W is a smooth affine complex symplectic variety, equipped with a Hamiltonian action of a connected reductive group G and moment map $\mu : \mathsf{W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. A standard source of examples comes from smooth affine varieties Z equipped with G-action; then taking $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$, the moment map $\mu : T^*Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the dual of the infinitesimal G-action on Z. One can associate to the above data a naive, usually singular, affine symplectic quotient

$X \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/G = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^G$. Alternatively, choosing a character $\chi : G \to \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbf{C}^*$, one can define (as in Section 3.2) a more refined quotient $\mathfrak{X} = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_\chi G$ by geometric invariant theory (GIT). There is a natural projective morphism $f : \mathfrak{X} \to X$. In many interesting examples, the character χ can be chosen so that $\mathfrak{X}$ gives a symplectic resolution of the singular symplectic variety X (see the discussion in Section 3).

One can also quantize the situation by replacing functions on W by a filtered noncommutative algebra A whose associated graded algebra is $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$. For example, in the case $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$, the canonical choice of A is the ring $\mathcal{D}(Z)$ of differential operators on Z. The method of quantum Hamiltonian reduction (Section 3.5) yields an algebra U_c (depending on a Lie algebra character $c : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbf{C}$) that functions as a quantum analog of the algebra $\mathbf{C}[X]$ of functions on X. Similarly, as we explain in Section 4.3, there is a natural quantum analog $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ of (the derived category of) $\mathfrak{X}$, defined in terms of a categorical localization. When $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$ and G acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss}$ and so $\mathfrak{X}$ is a smooth symplectic variety, this category is a category of modules over a twisted ring of microdifferential operators $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)$ on the open subset $\mathfrak{X}$ of the cotangent stack $T^*(Z/G)$. There are natural quantizations $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$, $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$ of the inverse and direct images $\mathbb{L}f^*$, $\mathbb{R}f_*$ associated to $f : \mathfrak{X} \to X$.

The main result of the paper is the following general criterion for showing that $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$ define mutually quasi-inverse equivalences of derived categories. The criterion holds under a mild set of hypotheses (Assumptions 3.2) that are satisfied in a broad range of examples of interest.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.5)**.** *Suppose that μ, X, $\mathfrak{X}$, and $f : \mathfrak{X} \to X$ satisfy Assumptions 3.2. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *The functor*
$$\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* : D(U_c) \to D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$$
is cohomologically bounded (that is, preserves the class of complexes with cohomologies in only finitely many degrees).

(2) *The functor $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ defines an exact equivalence of bounded derived categories.*

If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a smooth variety obtained as the quotient of the semistable locus $\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss}$ by a free G-action, then these conditions are also equivalent to:

(3) *The algebra U_c has finite global dimension.*

Since condition (3) always implies condition (1) we obtain:

Corollary 1.2 (Corollary 7.6)**.** *If U_c has finite global dimension, then $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is an exact equivalence of (dg or triangulated) categories.*

We note that, in fact, abelian equivalences are known in some examples, cf. for example [GS1], [GS2], [KR], [BKu]; related results were also known to K. Kremnizer, I. Grojnowski, and Braden-Licata-Proudfoot-Webster [BLPW2].

Theorem 1.1 has the following immediate consequence:

Corollary 1.3. *Suppose that U_c and $U_{c+d\rho}$ both have finite global dimension, where $\rho : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$ is a character. Then $D(U_c)$ and $D(U_{c+d\rho})$ are equivalent.*

In particular, the corollary provides derived equivalences between Cherednik algebras even when the natural shift functors "cross walls." We also remark that the theorem does not depend on the group character χ—in particular, if derived microlocalization holds for one such χ then it holds for any other.

The conditions of the theorem are known to be satisfied in many instances. In Section 7.4, we describe an interesting class of examples, namely the spherical Cherednik algebras associated to wreath products of cyclic groups. Let $\mu_\ell \cong \Gamma \subset SL(2)$ denote a cyclic subgroup. The symmetric group S_n acts by permutations on the product Γ^n, and the semidirect product group is the *wreath product*, denoted by $S_n \wr \mu_\ell$. By construction, the wreath product is realized as a group of symplectic reflections (in the n-fold product of $\mathbf{C}^2$); the associated spherical rational Cherednik algebra $U_{k,c}$ depends on parameters $k \in \mathbf{C}$ and $c \in \mathbf{C}^{\ell-1}$. In [Go, EGGO], this algebra is realized via quantum Hamiltonian reduction. As we explain in Section 7.4, combining the constructions of [Go, EGGO] and the result of [DG] on the *aspherical values* of the parameters gives the following result:

Corollary 1.4. *For the spherical Cherednik algebra* $U_{k,c}$ *associated to the wreath product* $S_n \wr \mu_\ell$, *the functor* $D(U_{k,c}) \to D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(k,c))$ *is an exact equivalence of triangulated categories away from a finite collection of hyperplanes described by Proposition 7.11.*

An explicit combinatorial description of these hyperplanes is given in Section 7.4; since the notation is a bit involved we refer the reader to that section for details.

Theorem 1.1 also generalizes to non-affine situations in which a a good quotient (in the GIT sense) exists; see Section 7.6, and especially Theorem 7.13, for more details. Here is a sample application. It is shown in [FG] how to realize Etingof's [Et1] type A Cherednik algebra of an algebraic curve C by quantum Hamiltonian reduction. Derived microlocalization then follows from Theorem 7.13 for the type A Cherednik algebra of an arbitrary smooth C whenever the sheaf of spherical algebras U_c on $\mathrm{Sym}^n(C)$ is locally of finite global dimension. Using étale charts on C, one reduces to $C = \mathbb{A}^1$ to show that this happens for the "usual" values of the parameter:

Corollary 1.5. *Let* C *be a smooth algebraic curve. Let* U_c *denote the sheaf (on* $\mathrm{Sym}^n(C)$*) of spherical subalgebras of the type* A *rational Cherednik algebra of* C *as defined in* [Et1]. *Let* $D\big(\mathcal{E}_{(T^*C)^{[n]}}(c)\big)$ *denote the associated microlocal category. Then*

$$D\big(\mathcal{E}_{(T^*C)^{[n]}}(c)\big) \simeq D(U_c) \ \ \textit{provided} \ \ c \notin \{-\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 1 \le p < q \le n\}.$$

Another interesting class of examples comes from the *Mori dream spaces* [HK], a class of varieties that includes flag varieties, spherical varieties, and toric varieties as special cases. The main constructions of the paper can be extended to the setting needed for derived (micro)localization for Mori dream spaces. As a consequence, one can reprove derived localization [BG] for flag varieties independently of the classical method of Beilinson-Bernstein, and in particular using only the maximal torus H and not the full Borel action. This example also lends particular interest to condition (1) of Theorem 1.1, since it is shown in [BMR] that it is quite easy to check that $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is cohomologically bounded (for twists by regular central characters)—significantly easier than to prove that the corresponding central reduction of the enveloping algebra has finite global dimension. Thus, as we explain in Section 7.3, our approach also gives a new proof for finite global dimension of the central reductions of the enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras (albeit one that is certainly not simpler than the approach via [BB]). We discuss only the flag variety case in Section 7.3; we expect to return to the more general subject of Mori dream spaces elsewhere.

Finally, in Section 7.5 we very briefly explain a version of our main theorem in the context of deformation quantizations of symplectic resolutions.

1.2. **Methods.** Our approach to Theorem 1.1 is, in spirit, similar to the derived Beilinson-Bernstein localization theorem of [BMR]. Namely, it is easy to see that the induction functor $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is faithful, with left quasi-inverse given by its right adjoint $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$. The trick is then to see that the kernel of $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$ and image of $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ give an orthogonal decomposition of $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$, and furthermore that the latter category is indecomposable; this would give the equivalence. Unfortunately, we cannot see how to adapt the method of [BMR], using the Calabi-Yau property and two-variable Serre duality, directly to establish these properties: [BMR] relied heavily on the Azumaya property, i.e. the large centers of the noncommutative rings appearing.

Instead, we take something of a detour through more homotopical methods, establishing as in [Ne] the existence of a *right* adjoint $\mathsf{f}^!$ to $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$ and proving that it commutes with colimits.[1] We then compute the right adjoint on some objects using a more classical version of (noncommutative) Serre duality. Although this version of Serre duality is likely a consequence of the powerful duality framework developed by Yekutieli-Zhang (see [YZ2] and references there), we develop it by using Čech methods to reduce to the commutative case; this has the additional advantage of proving quasi-properness of the functor $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$. Once we have computed $\mathsf{f}^!$ on enough objects, it follows that $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* = \mathsf{f}^!$, and a small modification of the argument of [BMR] finishes the proof.

---

[1] The statement of Theorem 1.1 itself is partly inspired by [LN].

Along the way, we establish a number of fundamental properties of the microlocal category $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$. For example, we prove that $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ is compactly generated (Proposition 4.13) and indecomposable (Proposition 4.15), and that, in the differential operator case, the two natural definitions of $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$, as the derived category of a corresponding abelian category and as a category of weakly equivariant complexes that are only homotopically strongly equivariant, are equivalent (Proposition 4.11). Although many of these properties play important roles in our proofs, they should also be understood as establishing basic features of the microlocal derived categories that make clear that they are suitable objects for geometric study.

1.3. **Acknowledgments.** The authors are indebted to David Ben-Zvi for extensive conversations, and for patiently answering numerous questions about compactly generated categories; and to Toby Stafford for teaching them about noncommutative Čech complexes. The authors are grateful to Gwyn Bellamy, Iain Gordon, Travis Schedler, and Ben Webster for very helpful comments and suggestions.

The first author is supported by a Royal Society research fellowship. The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0757987.

2. Equivalences of Derived Categories

In this section, we lay out a general framework (following the technique of Bridgeland as applied in, for example, [BMR]) for proving derived equivalences. We also summarize some basics of compactly generated categories.

2.1. **General Techniques for Derived Equivalence.** Let C, D be triangulated categories and

$$F : C \leftrightarrows D : G$$

an adjoint pair of exact functors. Consider the following conditions:

(C1) D is compactly generated.
(C2) G preserves coproducts.
(C3) G takes a set S of compact generators of D to compact objects of C.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that C, D are triangulated categories with an adjoint pair $F : C \leftrightarrows D : G$ of exact functors.*

1. *If these data satisfy conditions (C1)-(C3) above, then G has an exact right adjoint $F^! : C \to D$ that preserves colimits.*
2. *If, in addition, the following conditions are satisfied:*
   (C4) *There is a set S of compact generators of C for which $F(U) \cong F^!(U)$ for all $U \in S$.*
   (C5) *The adjunction $1_C \to G \circ F$ is an isomorphism.*
   (C6) *D is indecomposable.*
   Then F, G are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences of categories.

Proof. Conditions (C1) and (C2) imply $F^!$ exists by Theorem 4.1 of [Ne]. Condition (C3) implies that $F^!$ preserves coproducts by Theorem 5.1 of [Ne]; then $F^!$ preserves colimits by Lemma 2.3 below.

To prove the equivalence statement, we apply the following criterion:

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [BMR], Lemma 3.5.2)**.** *Let $F : C \to D$ be a faithful exact functor of triangulated categories with a right adjoint $G : D \to C$ such that*

1. *the adjunction $1 \to G \circ F$ is an isomorphism;*
2. *the functor G has a right adjoint $H : C \to D$;*
3. *the functors F and H have the same essential image; and*
4. *the category D is indecomposable.*

Then $F, G,$ and H are equivalences.

By this lemma (and conditions (C5) and (C6)), the final claim of Proposition 2.1 will follow once we show that F and $F^!$ have the same essential image. But this follows from condition (C4) by Lemma 2.6. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1. □

Lemma 2.3 (Lemma 4.1 of [BöN])**.** *If $F^!$ preserves coproducts then it preserves all small colimits.*

Lemma 2.4 (cf. Lemma 2.7 of [BNa])**.** *Suppose that $F : C \leftrightarrows D : G$ form an adjoint pair of functors.*

1. *If G preserves colimits (i.e. is* continuous*) and $d \in D$ is compact then $F(d)$ is compact.*
2. *If G is faithful and S is a set of generators of G then $F(S)$ is a set of generators of C.*

Lemma 2.5 ([Lu], Lemma 4.7.2)**.** *Let A be a (possibly noncommutative)* **C***-algebra. The compact objects of $D(A)$ are the perfect objects, i.e. the objects quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes of finitely generated projective left A-modules. Moreover, $D(A)$ is compactly generated, and every object is a small colimit of compact objects.*

We will make use of the following general fact:

Lemma 2.6. *If C is a compactly generated dg category, then every object of C is a colimit of compact objects. More precisely, if S is a set of compact generators and $\widetilde{S}$ denotes the smallest full triangulated subcategory of C containing the objects of S, then every object of C is a colimit of a diagram in $\widetilde{S}$.*

Proof. This is a version of Lemma 2.2.1 of [SS]. □

3. Group Actions and Classical Hamiltonian Reduction

Basic Assumption. *Throughout the paper, we assume all varieties W and groups G are connected.*

3.1. **Conventions on Group Actions.** Suppose a group G acts on a smooth variety W. Given a character $\chi : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$, we make the trivial line bundle $\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{W} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ into a G-equivariant line bundle via $g \cdot (x, z) = (g \cdot x, \chi(g) z)$. We will use the following conventions.

Suppose that W is affine. For $f \in \mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$, $g \in G$, we let $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$. If $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$, and $f \in \mathbf{C}[Z]$, $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(Z)$, and $g \in G$, we let $(g \cdot \theta)(f) = g \cdot (\theta(g^{-1} \cdot f))$.

Recall that a function $f : \mathsf{W} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is a *relative invariant* or *semi-invariant* of weight χ if $f(g \cdot x) = \chi(g) f(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathsf{W}$. Suppose that $F : \mathsf{W} \to \mathsf{L} = \mathsf{W} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is a section, and write $F(x) = (x, f(x))$ for a function $f : \mathsf{W} \to \mathbb{A}^1$. Then $g \cdot F(x) = (gx, \chi(g) f(x))$, and so F is G-equivariant if and only if f is χ-semi-invariant.

We write $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]^{G,\chi}$ for the χ-semi-invariant functions on W.

Example 3.1. Let $\mathsf{W} = \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ with $\mathbb{G}_m$ acting via the vector space structure. Letting $\chi(w) = w^\ell$, we find that a χ-semi-invariant is a homogeneous polynomial of degree ℓ, i.e. a section of $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$.

3.2. **Classical Hamiltonian Reduction.** Suppose that G acts on a smooth affine symplectic variety W with symplectic form Ω. We assume that W is equipped with a G-equivariant moment map $\mu : \mathsf{W} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Main Example. Our principal example throughout the paper will be the following. Suppose G acts on a smooth affine variety Z. The G-action induces an infinitesimal $\mathfrak{g}$-action $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to H^0(T_Z)$, which can be interpreted as a vector space map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathbf{C}[T^*Z]$. The latter map thus defines a map of varieties (which we denote by the same symbol) $\mu : T^*Z \to \mathfrak{g}^*$, the *classical moment map* for the group action.

Given a smooth affine symplectic G-variety W with moment map μ, write

$$X = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/G = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^G$$

for the affine quotient variety. This is a (typically singular) algebraic variety.

We will proceed to choose an additional character $\chi : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$ of the group G.[2] As in [Ki], this yields a variety

$$\mathfrak{X} = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_\chi G = \operatorname{Proj}\Big(\bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} \mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^{G,\chi^\ell}\Big).$$

The variety $\mathfrak{X}$ comes equipped with a projective morphism $f : \mathfrak{X} \to X$. We make the following assumptions:

Assumptions 3.2.

(1) The moment map μ is flat.
(2a) The affine quotient $X = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/G$ is Gorenstein with trivial dualizing sheaf $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$.
(2b) The GIT quotient $\mathfrak{X} = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_\chi G$ is Gorenstein with trivial dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathfrak{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.
(3) Under the morphism $f : \mathfrak{X} \to X$, we have $\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

By Grauert-Riemenschneider, if $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth, X is normal, and f is birational, then (2a) and (2b) together imply (3). In the next sections, we discuss conditions under which the assumptions hold.

3.3. **Flatness of the Moment Map.** We discuss flatness of μ for $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that* $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$ *where* Z *is a smooth variety with* G*-action and the* G*-action on* W *is the one induced from* Z*. Let* $\mu : \mathsf{W} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ *denote the moment map and* $N = \mu^{-1}(0)$*. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The map* μ *is flat and dominant.*
(2) N *is a* G*-equivariant complete intersection in* W *of dimension* $\dim(\mathsf{W}) - \dim(G)$.
(3) N *has dimension* $2\dim(Z) - \dim(G)$.
(4) *Letting* $[Z/G]$ *denote the quotient stack,* $\dim(T^*[Z/G]) = 2\dim([Z/G])$.
(5) *One has* $\operatorname{codim}\{y \in [Z/G] \mid \dim(G_y) = n\} > n$ *for all* $n \geq 1$.

Definition 3.4 (Beilinson-Drinfeld, Page 6 of [BD])**.** We call $[Z/G]$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.3 *good* or *good for lazybones.*

Example 3.5. Suppose G is a torus. Then, because subgroups of G do not come in continuous families, the stabilizer of a generic point of Z equals the kernel of $G \to \operatorname{Aut}(Z)$. Then by Remark 9.2(5) and Proposition 9.4(2) of [Sch], after replacing G by its image in $\operatorname{Aut}(Z)$ the moment map becomes flat.

Example 3.6. Associated to any finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL(2)$ and any $n \geq 1$ there is a representation $Z = \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, n)$ of a reductive group $G = G(\Gamma, n)$ [GG]. It is shown in [GG] that the moment map for this $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$ and G is always flat.

---

[2] Later, when doing quantum Hamiltonian reduction, we will choose a Lie algebra character $c : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbf{C}$; these choices need not be related.

Flatness of the moment map in a general quiver setting is characterized in [CB].

3.4. **Gorenstein Property and Rational Singularities.** Assumption 3.2(3) is a weak version of X having rational singularities: one could say X "has rational singularities with respect to $\mathfrak{X}$." Indeed:

Remark 3.7. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth and f is birational then Assumption 3.2(3) exactly says that X has rational singularities. Note that it implies, in particular, that X is normal: if not, then the map f would factor through the normalization $\widetilde{X}$ of X, and the composite $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \to f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ would split $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$, which cannot happen because $\widetilde{X}$ is integral.

Lemma 3.8. *If G is a torus, then Assumption 3.2(3) holds.*

Proof. By Example 3.5, we may assume that the moment map is flat. Thus, pulling back the Koszul resolution $K(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbf{C}$ of the trivial $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]$-module to $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$ gives a free equivariant resolution of $\mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]$ with terms $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}] \otimes \wedge^k(\mathfrak{g}^*)$; since G is abelian these are even equivariantly free. Note now that $\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss} = \mathsf{W}^{ss} \cap \mu^{-1}(0)$. It follows that, letting $j : \mathsf{W}^{ss} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{W}$ denote the inclusion, we have that $(\mathbb{R}j_*\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss}})^G \simeq \big(\mathbb{R}j_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{W}^{ss}} \otimes K(\mathfrak{g})\big)^G$. By [T], one has

$$\big(\mathbb{R}j_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{W}^{ss}} \otimes K(\mathfrak{g})\big)^G \simeq \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{W}} \otimes K(\mathfrak{g})\big)^G \cong \mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^G.$$

This completes the proof. □

Similarly, Assumptions 3.2(2a), (2b), (3) are also understood in the case when f is a symplectic resolution, as the following shows.

Lemma 3.9. *If $f\colon \mathfrak{X} \to X$ is a symplectic resolution, then 3.2(2a),(2b),(3) hold.*

Proof. (2b) holds by hypothesis. By assumption, X is a symplectic variety, which by Proposition 1.3 of [Bea] implies it is Gorenstein with rational singularities, so (3) holds. In particular, X is normal, so the top exterior power of the symplectic form on X^{sm} extends to a nonvanishing regular section of ω_X, proving (2a). □

3.5. **Quantum Hamiltonian Reduction.** Suppose again that G acts on a smooth affine symplectic variety W with moment map μ.

We suppose throughout the remainder of the paper that Assumptions 3.2 hold.

We assume that we are given a *filtered quantization* $(\mathsf{A}, F, \mu, \phi)$ of our geometric setting. By this we mean the following.

1. A is a filtered noncommutative $\mathbf{C}$-algebra whose associated graded $\mathrm{gr}\, \mathsf{A} = \mathrm{gr}_F\, \mathsf{A}$ comes equipped with an isomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{gr}\, \mathsf{A} \to \mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$ of Poisson algebras.
2. A comes equipped with a rational left G-action that preserves the filtration F and makes the isomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{gr}\, \mathsf{A} \to \mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$ G-equivariant.
3. A comes equipped with a G-equivariant Lie algebra homomorphism $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathsf{A}_1 = F_1\,\mathsf{A}$ whose associated graded $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{gr}_1\, \mathsf{A}$ equals the moment map for the G-action on W.
4. Letting $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathsf{A})$ denote the derivative of the G-action on A, we have $[\mu(z), \theta] = \alpha(z)(\theta)$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}, \theta \in \mathsf{A}$.

In particular, the G-equivariant grading on $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$ induced by ϕ induces a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on W that commutes with the G-action and makes μ into a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant moment map for W. We refer to $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathsf{A}_1$, and the induced associative algebra map (which we also denote by μ) $\mu : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathsf{A}$, as *quantum moment maps.*

Main Example. Suppose G acts on a smooth affine variety Z. Let $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$ and $\mathsf{A} = \mathcal{D}(Z)$, the algebra of differential operators on Z. The infinitesimal $\mathfrak{g}$-action $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to H^0(T_Z)$ can be interpreted as a map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{D}^1(Z)$ of Lie algebras. The map μ thus induces a homomorphism of associative algebras $\mu : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{D}(Z)$, called the *quantum moment map*. Both algebras are naturally filtered (we use the filtration by order of differential operators on $\mathcal{D}(Z)$) and the associated graded map agrees with the classical moment map defined above.

Construction 3.10. Given a Lie algebra character $c : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbf{C}$, we define a *twisted quantum moment map* $\mu_c : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathsf{A}_1 \subset \mathsf{A}$ by $\mu_c(z) = \mu(z) + c(z)$ for $z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Suppose from now on that W is smooth and affine and that G is reductive. We form the *quantum Hamiltonian reduction* U_c of A as follows. Define

$$M_c \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathsf{A}/\mathsf{A}\mu_c(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } M_c^\dagger \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mu_c(\mathfrak{g})\mathsf{A}\backslash\mathsf{A}.$$

Taking G-invariants gives an algebra

$$U_c \overset{\text{def}}{=} M_c^G \cong (M_c^\dagger)^G.$$

Note that, although M_c is only a left A-module and not an algebra, the submodule $(\mathsf{A}\mu_c(\mathfrak{g}))^G$ is actually a two-sided ideal in A^G and so U_c is an algebra. Moreover, then M_c is a (A, U_c)-bimodule and $M_c^\dagger$ is a (U_c, A)-bimodule.

Remark 3.11. As explained in Sections 1.7 and 1.8 of [BB], in the case $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$, $\mathsf{A} = \mathcal{D}(Z)$, the algebra U_c can be interpreted as the algebra of global sections of a D-algebra, or more precisely an algebra of twisted differential operators, on the quotient stack Z/G. Under this interpretation, one has $U_c = H^0(\mathcal{D}_{Z/G}(\mathcal{O}(c))$.

Example 3.12. Let $Z = \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ and $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ acting with weight one. Let $\mathsf{W} = T^*Z$ and $\mathsf{A} = \mathcal{D}(Z)$. Let $\chi(w) = w$, so $\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ corresponds to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n \subset Z/G$. Then $M_c = \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}(e + c)$ where $e = -\sum x_i\partial_{x_i}$ is the Euler operator for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action. If f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d, then $e \cdot f = -d \cdot f$, so $(e + d)f = 0$. Thus M_d is a $\mathcal{D}$-module through which the natural $\mathcal{D}$-action on sections of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ factors, and it turns out that $H^0(\mathcal{D}_{X/\mathbb{G}_m}(\mathcal{O}(\chi)^d)) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\mathcal{O}(d))$.

The filtration F on A induces a filtration on the subalgebra A^G of G-invariants and thus also a filtration on the quotient U_c. Since G is reductive we have

$$\operatorname{gr}(\mathsf{A}^G) \cong \mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]^G.$$

Lemma 3.13. *The algebra U_c is Auslander Gorenstein with rigid Auslander dualizing complex $\mathbb{D}^\bullet = U_c$. The Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$ is Auslander Gorenstein with rigid Auslander dualizing complex $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{R}(U_c)} = \mathcal{R}(U_c)$.*

Proof. Since X is Gorenstein with trivial dualizing sheaf (Assumption 3.2(2a)), Theorem 3.9 of [Bj2] implies that U_c is Auslander-Gorenstein; Theorem 5.3 of [Ek] implies that $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$ is Auslander Gorenstein. Example 2.3(a) of [YZ1] then gives the statements about dualizing complexes. ☐

We write

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{A}}(-) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(-, \mathsf{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{D}_{U_c}(-) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{U_c}(-, U_c)$$

for the (Verdier) dualizing functors.

As we have remarked, by construction, the left A-module M_c defined above is naturally a (A, U_c)-bimodule. Since μ is flat by assumption, Proposition 2.3.12 of [Bj1] yields that A is a flat $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module via μ_c. Thus, we get a free A-resolution of M_c as $\mathsf{A} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} C(\mathfrak{g})$, where $C(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg resolution of the trivial one-dimensional $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module.

Lemma 3.14. *We have $M_c^\dagger = \mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{A}}(M_c)[g]$, the (shifted) Verdier dual of M_c as a left A-module, where $g = \dim(G)$.*

The proof is a calculation using the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex.

The filtrations on M_c and $M_c^\dagger$ induced from A are compatible with the filtrations on both A and U_c, making M_c and $M_c^\dagger$ filtered bimodules.

Proposition 3.15.

1. *With respect to the filtrations defined above, we have* $\mathrm{gr}(M_c) \cong \mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)] \cong \mathrm{gr}(M_c^\dagger)$ *as a* $(\mathrm{gr}\ \mathsf{A}, \mathrm{gr}(U_c))$*-bimodule, respectively* $(\mathrm{gr}(U_c), \mathrm{gr}\ \mathsf{A})$*-bimodule.*
2. M_c *and* $M_c^\dagger$ *are Cohen-Macaulay* U_c*-modules.*

Proof. The first part is Proposition 2.4 of [Ho] ([Ho] is written in a $\mathcal{D}$-module context but the proof works equally well in our setting). The second part follows from Cohen-Macaulayness of $\mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]$, which follows from Lemma 3.3. □

4. Microlocal Derived Categories

In this section we describe the (microlocal) categories of interest to us and establish some of their basic properties. The book [KS] is an excellent general reference for background on relevant topics. Given an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$, we let $D(\mathcal{C})$ denote its unbounded derived category: a good discussion can be found in [BöN].

We assume throughout this section that W is a smooth, affine symplectic G-variety (with G connected reductive) with quantization A as in Section 3.5.

An A-module M is *weakly G-equivariant* if:

1. M is equipped with the structure of a *rational* G-representation, that is, it is a union of its finite-dimensional sub-representations; and
2. $g \cdot (\theta m) = (g \cdot \theta)(g \cdot m)$ for all $m \in M$, $g \in G$, and $\theta \in \mathsf{A}$.

It is immediate from the definition that every weakly G-equivariant A-module is the union of its weakly equivariant submodules that are finitely generated as A-modules.

Let $(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}$ denote the abelian category of weakly G-equivariant left A-modules with A-module homomorphisms respecting the G-actions. We also let $D(\mathsf{A}, G)$ denote the (unbounded) derived category of weakly G-equivariant left A-modules. The category $(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}$ is a Grothendieck category and thus has enough injectives (cf. Remark 2.5 of [VdB]).

Lemma 4.1. *For any finite-dimensional representation V of G, the A-module $\mathsf{A} \otimes V$ is a projective object of* $(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}$. *In particular,* $(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}$ *has enough projectives.*

4.1. **Twisted Equivariant Categories.** Suppose that M is a weakly G-equivariant A-module. Differentiating the G-action on M gives an infinitesimal $\mathfrak{g}$-action on M, i.e. a Lie algebra homomorphism $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{C}}(M)$. For $z \in \mathfrak{g}$, $a \in \mathsf{A}$ and $m \in M$, it satisfies $\alpha(z)(am) = [\mu(z), a] \cdot m + a \cdot \alpha(z)(m)$ (the Leibniz rule). Given a Lie algebra character $c : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbf{C}$ and the twisted moment map μ_c defined above, one then defines

$$\gamma_{M,c} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathsf{A}}(M), \qquad z \mapsto \alpha(z) - \mu_c(z).$$

We say that a weakly G-equivariant A-module is *c-twisted G-equivariant* or *(G, c)-equivariant* if $\gamma_{M,c} = 0$; that is, if $\gamma_{M,0}$ agrees with the composite

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{-c} \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{w \mapsto w \cdot \mathrm{Id}_M} \mathrm{End}_{\mathsf{A}}(M).$$

We write $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$ for the abelian category of (G, c)-equivariant A-modules. This category is a Grothendieck category and thus has enough injectives (cf. also Corollary 2.8 and Lemma 1.5.3 of [VdB]). We remark that our definitions of weakly and strongly equivariant A-modules agree with the standard ones when $\mathsf{A} = \mathcal{D}(Z)$.

We will also need (G, c)-equivariant *right* A-modules; we briefly describe those now. Let G act on A on the right by the dual action. The infinitesimal right action is then given by $\mu^r = -\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathsf{A}$.

A right A-module M equipped with a rational right G-action is *weakly G-equivariant* if $(m \cdot \theta) \cdot g = (m \cdot g)(\theta \cdot g)$ for all $g \in G, \theta \in \mathsf{A}, m \in M$. Given a weakly equivariant right module M we let α^r denote the derivative of the right G-action (the superscript r is used to emphasize that it is a right action). We say a weakly equivariant right A-module M is *(G, c)-equivariant* if the endomorphism $\gamma^r_{M,c} = \alpha^r - (\mu^r - c) \equiv 0$; note the change in sign from $\gamma_{M,c} = \alpha - (\mu + c)$ for left modules above.

Recall the (A, U_c)-bimodule $M_c = \mathsf{A}/\mathsf{A}\mu_c(\mathfrak{g})$ and its dual $M_c^\dagger$ defined above.

Lemma 4.2. *The modules M_c and $M_c^\dagger$ are (G, c)-equivariant.*

Lemma 4.3 (cf. Section 3 of [Ka]). *The natural forgetful functor*

$$\mathrm{sap}_c : (\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod} \xrightarrow{forget} (\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}$$

has a left adjoint Φ_c defined by

$$\Phi_c(M) = M/\big(\sum_{z \in \mathfrak{g}} \gamma_{M,c}(z) M\big). \tag{4.1}$$

Similarly, the forgetful functor

$$\mathrm{sap}_c : D((\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}) \to D((\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod})$$

has left adjoint $\mathbb{L}\Phi_c$.

We next introduce some specific (G, c)-equivariant modules; these are a special case of the induction functor described in Section 4.5. Let $\rho : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be a character. We get a (G, c)-equivariant module $M_c(\rho) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Phi_c(\mathcal{D} \otimes \rho)$, where $\mathcal{D} \otimes \rho$ denotes A considered as a weakly G-equivariant A-module by twisting the G-action by ρ. A calculation shows that $\gamma_{\mathsf{A}\otimes\rho,c} = \gamma_{\mathsf{A},c-d\rho}$ where $d\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbf{C}$ denotes the derivative of ρ. Hence $M_c(\rho) \cong M_{c-d\rho} \otimes \rho$ as an (A, G)-module.

4.2. **Quantum Hamiltonian Reduction Functor.** As above, we let $(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}$ denote the abelian category of weakly G-equivariant A-modules and $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$ denote the full subcategory of (G, c)-equivariant modules.

We define the functor of *quantum Hamiltonian reduction*

$$\mathbb{H} : (\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod} \longrightarrow U_c - \mathrm{mod}$$

by the formula

$$\mathbb{H}(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(M_c, M)^G = \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathsf{A},G)}(M_c, M). \tag{4.2}$$

Composing with sap_c defines a functor

$$\mathbb{H}_c = \mathbb{H} \circ \mathrm{sap}_c : (\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod} \to U_c - \mathrm{mod}\,.$$

If M is (G, c)-equivariant, then it is completely reducible as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module under the action via μ_c. Thus, since $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive, as in (7.3) and (7.4) of [GG], we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(M_c, M) = M^{\mu_c(\mathfrak{g})} = M_{\mu_c(\mathfrak{g})} = M_c^\dagger \otimes_{\mathsf{A}} M \tag{4.3}$$

for $M \in (\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$. Since G is reductive, we thus have:

Lemma 4.4.

1. *$\mathbb{H}_c$ is an exact functor.*
2. *If G is connected and M is (G, c)-equivariant, then*
$$\mathbb{H}_c(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(M_c, M) = M^G.$$
3. *In particular, $\mathbb{H}_c(M_c) = U_c$.*

In general, we have

$$\mathbb{R}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(M_c, M) = M_c^\dagger[g] \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} M.$$

4.3. **Microlocal Abelian Categories.** Suppose M is an A-module. If M is finitely generated over A, one may choose a good filtration and then $SS(M) = \operatorname{supp}\operatorname{gr}(M) \subseteq \mathsf{W}$ is independent of the choice of good filtration. For an arbitrary A-module M, we let $SS(M)$ denote the union of $SS(M')$ over finitely generated submodules $M' \subseteq M$.

If M is weakly G-equivariant, then $SS(M)$ is a union of G-stable closed subsets of W, hence itself G-stable. Fixing, as before, a character χ, we let W^{uns} denote the χ-unstable subset of W, and let $(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}^{uns}$ denote the full subcategory of modules with singular support contained in W^{uns}, and similarly for $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$. Both of these categories are *localizing subcategories* in the sense of Section III.4.4 of [Po]. In particular:

Lemma 4.5.

1. *The quotient abelian categories*
$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}\,/(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}^{uns}$$
and
$$(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}^{ss} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}\,/(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}^{uns}$$
exist and have enough injectives.
2. *Letting*
$$\pi_c : (\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}$$
denote the projection functor, a right adjoint
$$\Gamma_c : \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod} \to (\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$$
to π_c exists. Similarly, letting
$$\pi : (\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod} \longrightarrow (\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}^{ss}$$
denote the projection functor, a right adjoint
$$\Gamma : (\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}^{ss} \to (\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}$$
to π exists.

Remark 4.6. In the case when G acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss} = \mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$, yielding a smooth quotient variety, the category $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ has a more familiar geometric interpretation (which explains our notation). Namely, the microrestriction of the sheaf M_c of (A, G)-modules to $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s}$ descends to a sheaf of filtered algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)$ on $\mathfrak{X}$, with associated graded sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)$ is an analog of the sheaf of microdifferential operators on the cotangent bundle of a smooth variety. The abelian category $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}$ comes equipped with a restriction functor to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}$, and similarly $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ comes with a functor to $D(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$. The restriction functor is faithful, and thus $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)$ can be understood as a category of modules for a microlocal sheaf of rings.

Warning 4.7. *The reader should be aware that the image of this restriction functor is* not *the entire category of quasicoherent $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)$-modules. This is the reason that modules in* [KR, BKu] *are required to admit (global)* lattices*: this condition is required for modules to lie in the essential image of the restriction functor. More details will appear in* [McN].

We write $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) = \pi_c(M_c)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \rho \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_c(M_c(\rho))$ for a character $\rho : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$.

Lemma 4.8. *We have*
$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) = \check{C}^{\bullet}(M_c) \simeq \check{C}^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} C(\mathfrak{g}),$$
where $\check{C}^{\bullet}$ denotes the Čech complex from Section 6.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) = \pi_c(M_c)$ by definition. We thus have

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) \simeq \check{C}^\bullet(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} M_c$$

from Theorem 6.8. Now the definition of M_c and the flatness of A over $U(\mathfrak{g})$ implies that

$$H^i\big(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{\mathsf{A}} M_c\big) \cong H^i\big(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathsf{A})\big) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g})} C(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where (as above) $C(\mathfrak{g})$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex. □

4.4. **Microlocal Derived Categories.** We define $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ to be the unbounded derived category of the abelian category $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)-\mathrm{mod}$. We will refer to this category as the *microlocal derived category.* We refer to [BöN] for basics of unbounded derived categories.

Alternatively, we can perform a quotient operation for the (dg enhanced, if one prefers) derived category $D((\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod})$. Namely, we let $D((\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod})^{uns}$ denote the full dg subcategory consisting of objects whose cohomologies have unstable support in the above sense. The associated full triangulated subcategory is thick. Passing to the quotient category, which we also denote by $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$, we obtain a dg enhanced triangulated category together with a cohomology functor $H^0 : D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}$ (see [Dr], especially Appendix A).

It follows from the next lemma that the two constructions agree.

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose that $D = D(A)$ is the (bounded or unbounded) derived category of an abelian category A, $T \subset A$ is a localizing subcategory, and $D(A/T)$ is the (corresponding bounded or unbounded, according to $D(A)$) derived category of A/T. Let C denote the full subcategory of D consisting of objects whose cohomologies (with respect to the standard t-structure) lie in T. Then the canonical map $D(A) \to D(A/T)$ induces an equivalence $D(A)/C \simeq D(A/T)$.*

Remark 4.10. The Verdier duality functor $M \mapsto \mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{A}}(M) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(M, \mathsf{A})$ preserves singular support by Proposition D.4.2 of [HTT] (the proposition immediately generalizes to our setting). It follows that Verdier duality descends to the microlocal derived category; we denote this induced functor still by $\mathbb{D}$.

The remainder of Section 4.4 is not needed in the rest of the paper: we include it for completeness.

In general, if S is a stack then the "correct" derived category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on S is not equivalent to the derived category of the abelian category of $\mathcal{D}$-modules (see Section 7.6 of [BD] or [BL]). In general, one should proceed as follows. Suppose $N^\bullet$ is a complex of weakly G-equivariant $\mathcal{D}(W)$-modules (with G-equivariant $\mathcal{D}(W)$-module homomorphisms). A *(G, c)-equivariant $\mathcal{D}$-complex* is such a weakly G-equivariant $N^\bullet$ together with a morphism $\mathfrak{g} \otimes N^\bullet \xrightarrow{i_-} N^\bullet[-1]$, $Z \otimes n \mapsto i_Z(n)$, such that for any $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ one has

$$i_Z^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad di_Z + i_Z d = \gamma_{N^\bullet, c}$$

(cf. Definition 7.6.11 of [BD]). One lets $C(\mathcal{D}(W), G, c)$ denote the dg category of such complexes. There is a natural cohomology functor $C(\mathcal{D}(W), G, c) \xrightarrow{H^0} (\mathcal{D}(W), G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$. Localizing $C(\mathcal{D}(W), G, c)$ with respect to quasi-isomorphisms gives a pre-triangulated dg category which we denote by $D_h(\mathcal{D}(W), G, c)$. The heart of the standard t-structure on $D_h(\mathcal{D}(W), G, c)$ is exactly $(\mathcal{D}(W), G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$ (Section 7.6.11 of [BD]). One can then, as above, take the quotient by the thick subcategory of complexes whose cohomologies have unstable support to obtain a "homotopical" derived category, which we will denote by $D_h(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$.

In general, this construction will not agree with the one we gave above. However, in our case we have:

Proposition 4.11. *Under Assumption 3.2(1), i.e. flatness of the moment map μ, the induced functors*

$$D((\mathcal{D}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}) \to D_h(\mathcal{D}, G, c), \qquad D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}) \to D_h(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$$

are exact equivalences of triangulated categories.

The proof reduces to (a twisted version of) Theorem 3.4 of [BL]: Assumption 3.2(1) guarantees that the hypotheses of that theorem are satisfied. Since we will not use this result elsewhere in the paper, we omit the full proof.

4.5. **Adjunctions and Compact Generation.** In this section we prove that the microlocal derived category is compactly generated.

We begin with a general fact.

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose that A is a (possibly noncommutative)* $\mathbf{C}$*-algebra with rational G-action, where G is a reductive group. Then $D((A,G)-\mathrm{mod})$ is compactly generated by the objects $V\otimes A$ for finite-dimensional G-representations V.*

As in Lemma 4.3, there is an adjoint pair

$$\mathbb{L}\Phi_c : D((\mathsf{A},G)-\mathrm{mod}) \leftrightarrows D((\mathsf{A},G,c)-\mathrm{mod}) : \mathrm{sap}_c,$$

where $\mathbb{L}\Phi_c$ exists by Lemma 4.1. As in Section 4.3, there is an adjoint pair

$$\pi_c : D((\mathsf{A},G,c)-\mathrm{mod}) \leftrightarrows D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) : \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c.$$

Proposition 4.13.

(1) *The right adjoints* sap_c *and* $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c$ *above preserve colimits.*
(2) *The category $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ is compactly generated.*

Proof. The only part of (1) that requires proof is that $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c$ preserves colimits. To see this, first observe that $(\mathsf{A},G)-\mathrm{mod}$ is locally noetherian, generated by the noetherian objects $\mathsf{A}\otimes V$ for finite-dimensional representations V of G. Using the adjunction (Φ_c,sap_c), one finds that the objects $\Phi_c(\mathsf{A}\otimes V)$ are noetherian and generate $(\mathsf{A},G,c)-\mathrm{mod}$, so the latter category is locally noetherian. Part (1) then follows from Corollaire 1, Section III.4 of [Ga] and [KS, Proposition 15.3.3].

For part (2), apply Lemma 2.4 to the adjoint pair $(\pi_c\circ\mathbb{L}\Phi_c, \mathrm{sap}_c\circ\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c)$, using part (1) for continuity of the right adjoint and Lemma 4.12 for compact generation of $D((\mathsf{A},G)-\mathrm{mod})$. □

We will be interested below in some particular compact objects, namely the ones $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)\otimes\rho \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_c\, M_c(\rho)$ induced from line bundles. Note that, by Lemma 4.1, $\mathsf{A}\otimes\rho$ is projective in $(\mathsf{A},G)-\mathrm{mod}$; hence

$$\pi_c\, M_c(\rho) = \pi_c\Phi_c(\mathsf{A}\otimes\rho) = \pi_c\circ\mathbb{L}\Phi_c(\mathsf{A}\otimes\rho). \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.14. *For any character $\rho : G\to\mathbb{G}_m$ and any $M\in D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$, we have*

$$\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)\otimes\rho, M) \cong \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(M)^{G,\rho}.$$

Proof. Using the adjunctions described above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)\otimes\rho, M) &= \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_c\circ\mathbb{L}\Phi_c(\mathsf{A}\otimes\rho), M)\\ &\cong \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathsf{A}\otimes\rho, \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(M))^G = \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(M)^{G,\rho}\end{aligned}$$

as desired, where the first equality follows from (4.4). □

4.6. **Indecomposability of $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$.**

Proposition 4.15. *The microlocal derived category $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ and the bounded subcategory $D^b(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ are indecomposable.*

Proof. Note that by Lemma 2.4 and part (1) of Proposition 4.13, the functors π_c and $\mathbb{L}\Phi_c$ both take compact objects to compact objects. It is then easy to see that that the Proposition follows if we can find a collection S of compact objects of $D((\mathsf{A},G)-\mathrm{mod})$ for which

(a) For each $s\in S$, the object $\pi_c\circ\mathbb{L}\Phi_c(s)$ is indecomposable and lies in $D^b(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$.

(b) For all $t, t' \in \pi_c \circ \mathbb{L}\Phi_c(S)$, there is some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which

$$\mathrm{Hom}^i_{D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))}(t, t') \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{Hom}^i_{D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))}(t', t) \neq 0.$$

(c) The set $\pi_c \circ \mathbb{L}\Phi_c(S)$ generates $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$.

Consider the object $L = \mathsf{A} \otimes \chi^\ell$ given by twisting the trivial module by a power of the character χ. The induced $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)$-module is $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^\ell$; its associated graded object (for the standard filtration of M_c as a quotient of A), as a coherent sheaf on $\mathfrak{X}$, is the twist $\mathscr{L}^\ell$ of the ample line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ coming from the character χ. It follows that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^\ell$ is an indecomposable object: any direct sum decomposition would induce a direct sum decomposition on the associated graded module (using the filtrations on the factors induced from the usual filtration on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^\ell$), which however is itself indecomposable since $\mathfrak{X}$ is connected.

Choose an integer N for which $\mathscr{L}^N$ is very ample, and let $S = \{\mathsf{A} \otimes \chi^{aN}[n] \mid a, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By the previous paragraph, S satisfies requirement (a). For requirement (b), we begin by observing:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{aN}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{bN}\big) &= \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c\big(\pi_c(M_c(\chi^{bN-aN}))\big)^G && \text{by Lemma 4.14}\\ &= \big(\check{C}^\bullet(M_c(\chi^{bN-aN}))\big)^G && \text{by Theorem 6.8.}\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{R}(M_c)$ denote the Rees module associated to the standard filtration, and consider the Čech complex $\check{C}^\bullet\big(\mathcal{R}(M_c)(\chi^{bN-aN})\big)$ (Čech resolutions are reviewed in Section 6). Proposition 6.9 and reductivity of G guarantee that

$$H^0\big(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{R}(M_c)(\chi^{bN-aN}))\big)^G \otimes \mathbf{C}[t]/(t) \cong H^0\big(\check{C}^\bullet\big(\operatorname{gr} M_c(\chi^{bN-aN})\big)\big)^G \cong H^0\big(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathscr{L}^{bN-aN})\big).$$

The latter space is nonzero for $b - a \geq 0$ by very ampleness of $\mathscr{L}$. Now Corollary 6.10 implies that $H^0\big(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{R}(M_c)(\chi^{bN-aN}))\big)^G$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-module, and thus it follows from from Nakayama's Lemma (Theorem 2.17 of [GW]) that $H^0\big(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{R}(M_c)(\chi^{bN-aN}))\big)^G \otimes \mathbf{C}[t]/(t-p) \neq 0$ for generic p. But Proposition 6.9 also guarantees that

$$H^0\big(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{R}(M_c)(\chi^{bN-aN}))\big)^G \otimes \mathbf{C}[t]/(t-p) \cong \check{C}^\bullet\big(M_c(\chi^{bN-aN})\big)^G$$

for $p \neq 0$, which establishes (b).

Next observe that if M is an object of $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$, then by Lemma 4.14 we have

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{aN}, \pi_c M\big) = \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c\big(\pi_c(M(\chi^{-aN})\big)^G. \tag{4.5}$$

For any complex x in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}$, let $\widetilde{x} = \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(x)$ (a complex in $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$); then $\pi_c(\widetilde{x}) = x$. Now Theorem 6.8 shows that $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c\big(\pi_c(\widetilde{x})\big) \simeq \check{C}^\bullet(\widetilde{x})$, and hence by reductivity of G that

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{aN}, x\big) \simeq \check{C}^\bullet(\widetilde{x}(\chi^{-aN}))^G.$$

Now, assume $x \not\simeq 0$; then there exists an i such that, writing x as $\cdots \to x^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} x^i \xrightarrow{d^i} x^{i+1} \to \ldots$, we have $\mathcal{H}^i(x) = \ker(d^i)/\operatorname{im}(d^{i-1}) \neq 0$. By exactness of π_c, it follows that $\mathcal{H}^i(\widetilde{x}) \neq 0$. Choose a finitely generated subobject $M \subseteq \mathcal{H}^i(\widetilde{x})$ in $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$ such that $0 \neq \pi_c(M) \subseteq \pi_c\big(\mathcal{H}^i(\widetilde{x})\big) = \mathcal{H}^i(x)$. Let N be the preimage of M in $\ker(\widetilde{d^i}) \subseteq \widetilde{x}^i$, and choose a finitely generated $N' \subseteq N$ such that N' surjects onto M under $\ker(\widetilde{d^i}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\widetilde{x})$.

Claim 4.16. For $a \gg 0$, the natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{-aN}, \pi_c N'\big) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{-aN}, \pi_c M\big)$$

is nonzero.

If the claim is true, there are maps

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{-aN}[-i] \to \pi_c N'[-i] \to x$$

and the composite induces a nonzero map on $\mathcal{H}^i$, namely $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{-aN} \to \pi_c M \subseteq \mathcal{H}^i(x)$. Hence, if the claim is true then statement (c) above is true, and the proposition is proven.

Proof of Claim. Observe that the desired map of Homs is computed by

$$H^0(\check{C}^\bullet(N')(\chi^{aN}))^G \to H^0(\check{C}^\bullet(M)(\chi^{aN}))^G. \tag{4.6}$$

By Corollary 6.10, these modules are finitely generated over U_c. Equip N' with a good filtration as an object of $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \text{mod}$, and give M the induced filtration as a quotient, so $\text{gr}(N') \to \text{gr}(M)$ is surjective. Then $H^0(\check{C}^\bullet(\text{gr}(N'))(\chi^{aN}))^G \to H^0(\check{C}^\bullet(\text{gr}(M))(\chi^{aN}))^G$ is surjective for $a \gg 0$ by ampleness of $\mathscr{L}$; fix such an a. Proposition 6.9 guarantees that the Rees modules $H^0\big(\mathcal{R}\big(\check{C}^\bullet(N')(\chi^{aN})\big)\big)^G$ and $H^0\big(\mathcal{R}\big(\check{C}^\bullet(M)(\chi^{aN})\big)\big)^G$ are finitely generated over $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$ and have fibers at $t = 0$ isomorphic to $H^0(\check{C}^\bullet(\text{gr}(N'))(\chi^{aN}))^G$ and $H^0(\check{C}^\bullet(\text{gr}(M))(\chi^{aN}))^G$ respectively. It follows from Nakayama's Lemma (Theorem 2.17 of [GW]) that

$$H^0\big(\mathcal{R}\big(\check{C}^\bullet(N')(\chi^{aN})\big)\big)^G \longrightarrow H^0\big(\mathcal{R}\big(\check{C}^\bullet(M)(\chi^{aN})\big)\big)^G$$

is surjective upon reduction to $t = p$ for generic p, and hence by another application of Proposition 6.9 that $H^0(\check{C}^\bullet(N')(\chi^{aN}))^G \to H^0(\check{C}^\bullet(M)(\chi^{aN}))^G$ is surjective, as desired. □

As noted, this proves the proposition. □

5. Direct and Inverse Image Functors and Serre Duality

In this section we define the functors that we use to relate the microlocal category with the derived category of U_c-modules. We also state a version of Serre Duality in the quantum setting that will allow us to establish derived equivalences later. As in Section 4, we assume throughout that G is connected reductive.

5.1. **Direct and Inverse Image Functors.** Let $f : \mathfrak{X} \to X$ denote the morphism from the GIT quotient to the affine quotient. We define a quantization of the functor f^* as follows. We define

$$\text{Loc} : U_c - \text{mod} \to (\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \text{mod}$$

by $\text{Loc}(N) = M_c \otimes_{U_c} N$ (by Lemma 4.2, the functor Loc takes values in $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \text{mod}$). Recall that π_c denotes the quotient functor $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \text{mod} \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \text{mod}$. We then let $\mathsf{f}^* = \pi_c \circ \text{Loc} : U_c - \text{mod} \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \text{mod}$.

Lemma 5.1.

1. *We have* $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* = \pi_c \circ \mathbb{L}\,\text{Loc}$.
2. *The composite* $\mathsf{f}_* \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{H}_c \circ \Gamma_c$ *is right adjoint to* f^**, and* $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_* \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{H}_c \circ \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c$ *is right adjoint to* $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$*.*
3. $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_* = \mathbb{R}\,\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c), -)$.
4. *The functor* $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$ *preserves colimits.*

We remark that a functor of abelian categories whose domain category is a Grothendieck category is known to admit right derived functors between unbounded derived categories; and a functor of abelian categories whose domain category has enough projectives is known to admit left derived functors between unbounded derived categories. Hence $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$ are defined.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. (1) follows from exactness of π_c; (2) is a formal consequence of (1). For (3), we observe that

$$\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c), N) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(\pi_c(M_c), N) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{(\mathsf{A},G,c)}(M_c, \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(N))$$

and apply statement (2) and the definition of $\mathbb{H}_c$. As in Proposition 4.13, $\pi_c M_c$ is compact; (4) then follows from (3). ☐

Lemma 5.2. *We have* $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) = U_c$.

Proof. By adjunction, there is a natural map $M_c \to \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c \circ \pi_c M_c$. Applying $\mathbb{H}_c$ gives a natural map $U_c \to \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)$. Theorem 6.8 identifies this map with the natural map $U_c \to \check{C}^\bullet(M_c)^G$. By Proposition 6.9 and Assumption 3.2(3) the map becomes an isomorphism on passing to associated graded modules, yielding the lemma. ☐

Proposition 5.3. *The functor* $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_* : D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) \to D(U_c)$ *has a right adjoint* $\mathsf{f}^!$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1(4), Proposition 4.13(3), [Ne, Theorem 4.1] implies this. ☐

Given a ring or sheaf of rings R, we write sPerf(R) for the *strictly perfect derived category* of R, i.e. the full triangulated (or dg if one wants to work with enhancements) subcategory of the bounded derived category consisting of objects quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes of *free* R-modules. We write Perf(R) for the *perfect derived category* of R, the smallest full (triangulated or dg) subcategory of the bounded derived category containing R and closed under retracts. One has the following easy equivalences:

Proposition 5.4. *The functors* $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$, $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$ *induce adjoint pairs of functors*

$$\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* : \operatorname{sPerf}(U_c) \leftrightarrows \operatorname{sPerf}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) : \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* : \operatorname{Perf}(U_c) \leftrightarrows \operatorname{Perf}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) : \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*.$$

Moreover, these functors define quasi-inverse equivalences between the two (strictly) perfect derived categories.

5.2. **Serre Duality.** We will need the following version of Serre duality.

Theorem 5.5. *The canonical homomorphism*

$$\mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(M, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) \to \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{U_c}(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*M, \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{U_c}(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*M, U_c) \tag{5.1}$$

is an isomorphism for all $M \in D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$.

Note that by adjunction, the statement is immediately equivalent to:

Corollary 5.6. *We have* $\mathsf{f}^! U_c = \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)$.

The proof of Theorem 5.5 appears in Section 6.

6. Čech Complex and Proof of Theorem 5.5

In this section, we lay out the basics of the Čech complex for localizations of $\mathcal{D}$. The story is standard (cf. [VW1, VW2] for the basics), but we do not know a reference that does everything we need in the form we need. We then prove Theorem 5.5. As in the previous two sections, we assume that G is connected reductive.

6.1. **Local Modules.** Let $S \subset \mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$ be a set of nonzero homogeneous elements (recall that $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$ is graded via its identification with gr A). Let $\overline{S}$ denote the smallest multiplicative set containing S; this consists of products of powers of elements of S. Let $\overline{S}_{\mathrm{sat}}$ denote the *saturation* of the multiplicative subset $\overline{S}$, i.e. the set of elements of A whose principal symbols lie in $\overline{S}$. Then $\overline{S}_{\mathrm{sat}}$ is an Ore set [Li], and we write (abusively) $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ for the localization of A at the set $\overline{S}_{\mathrm{sat}}$.

We record a few basic properties of $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ that will be important for us:

Lemma 6.1.

1. *The algebra $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ comes equipped with a homomorphism $\mathsf{A} \to Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ that makes $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ flat over A on both sides.*
2. *All elements $s \in \mathsf{A}$ whose symbol lies in $\overline{S}$ become invertible in $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$. Moreover, $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ is universal for algebra homomorphisms $\mathsf{A} \to R$ with this property.*
3. *$Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ comes equipped with a filtration $F_\bullet$ for which $F_k(Q_S(\mathsf{A})) \cap \mathsf{A} = \mathsf{A}^k$. Moreover, $\mathrm{gr}_F(Q_S(\mathsf{A})) \cong \overline{S}^{-1}\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$.*
4. *If M is a filtered A-module, then $Q_S(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{\mathsf{A}} M$ is naturally a filtered $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$-module with associated graded isomorphic to $\overline{S}^{-1}\,\mathrm{gr}(M)$.*

For the latter two properties, see [Li].

One has an adjoint pair of functors:

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})} = Q_S(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{\mathsf{A}} - : \mathsf{A} - \mathrm{mod} \leftrightarrows Q_S(\mathsf{A}) - \mathrm{mod} : \mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})},$$

where $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}$ is the usual restriction functor. Note also that the localization $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ can be realized as the filtered algebra $\mathcal{R}(Q_S(\mathsf{A}))/(t-1)$ associated to a graded algebra $\mathcal{R}(Q_S(\mathsf{A}))$, namely the Rees algebra of $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$; moreover, $\mathcal{R}(Q_S(\mathsf{A}))$ is flat over $\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A})$. One gets a similar adjoint pair for Rees modules.

Lemma 6.2. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}\right) = \left\{ M \;\middle|\; SS(M) \subset \bigcup_{s \in S} V(s) \right\}.$$

The equality of the lemma is standard for finitely generated modules, and follows for all modules since both sides commute with colimits.

6.2. **Equivariant Microlocal Modules.** Suppose now that a (reductive) group G acts on W, that $\chi : G \to \mathbb{G}_m$ is a choice of character, and that S is a set of $\chi^{\mathbb{N}}$-semi-invariants (i.e. consists of elements s each of which is $\chi^{\ell(s)}$-semi-invariant for some $\ell(s) > 0$). Note that then the G-action preserves the set of elements of S up to scalars; as a result, G acts naturally on $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$. The localization $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ is canonically a subalgebra of the total quotient ring of A; in particular it is a rational (A, G)-module. The notion of rational $(Q_S(\mathsf{A}), G)$-module thus makes sense.

The induction and restriction functors take weakly G-equivariant A-modules to weakly G-equivariant $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$-modules and induce an adjoint pair on these subcategories compatible with the forgetful functors; the analog of Lemma 6.2 for the weakly G-equivariant categories is immediate. For any Lie algebra character c one obtains a composite $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\mu_c} \mathsf{A} \to Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ (which we still denote by μ_c). Defining (G, c)-equivariance of modules by the same formula as for A, the induction and restriction functors restrict to an adjoint pair on the (G, c)-equivariant subcategories of the weakly G-equivariant categories. Again, the analog of Lemma 6.2 is immediate.

Corollary 6.3 (of equivariant Lemma 6.2)**.** *Suppose that the set S as above satisfies $\mathsf{W}^{uns} \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in S} V(s)$ (where instability is taken with respect to χ). Then the induction functor $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}$ factors* *canonically*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathsf{A},G,c)-\mathrm{mod} \arrow[d, "\pi_c"] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}"] & \\
\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)-\mathrm{mod} \arrow[r, "j_S^*"] & (Q_S(\mathsf{A}),G,c)-\mathrm{mod}
\end{tikzcd}$$

through an exact functor $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)-\mathrm{mod}\xrightarrow{j_S^*}(Q_S(\mathsf{A}),G,c)-\mathrm{mod}$.

6.3. Adjoints.

Proposition 6.4. *The functor* j_S^* *has a right adjoint,*

$$j_{S*}:(Q_S(\mathsf{A}),G,c)-\mathrm{mod}\to\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)-\mathrm{mod}\,.$$

More precisely,

1. *the adjoint* j_{S*} *is given by* $j_{S*}M=\pi_c\circ\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(M)$.
2. j_{S*} *is exact.*
3. j_{S*} *takes injectives to injectives.*

Proof. Note that if an adjoint exists, then $\Gamma_c\circ j_{S*}=\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}$ by uniqueness of adjoints, and so $j_{S*}=\pi_c\circ\Gamma_c\circ j_{S*}=\pi_c\circ\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}$.

We will show that the given formula defines a right adjoint. Recall that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}\left(\pi_cM,\pi_c\,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(N)\right)=\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathsf{A},G,c)}\left(M,\Gamma_c\pi_c\,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(N)\right)$$

by adjunction. We thus begin by proving:

Lemma 6.5. *If* $\widetilde{N}=\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(N)$, *where* N *is a* (G,c)*-equivariant* $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$*-module, then* $\Gamma_c\circ\pi_c(\widetilde{N})=\widetilde{N}$. *Similar statements holds for weakly equivariant and non-equivariant modules.*

Proof. We have $\Gamma_c\circ\pi_c(\widetilde{N})=\varinjlim N'$ where $\widetilde{N}\to N'$ has kernel and cokernel with unstable support. First, observe that $\widetilde{N}$ contains no $\overline{S}_{\mathrm{sat}}$-torsion submodule, in particular no submodule with unstable support, so every $\widetilde{N}\to N'$ is injective. Now, tensoring $\widetilde{N}\to N'$ with $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ gives a map $Q_S(\mathsf{A})\otimes_{\mathsf{A}}\widetilde{N}\to Q_S(\mathsf{A})\otimes_{\mathsf{A}}N'$ which is an isomorphism by Lemma 6.2; and the natural map $\widetilde{N}\to Q_S(\mathsf{A})\otimes_{\mathsf{A}}\widetilde{N}$ is split by the multiplication map $Q_S(\mathsf{A})\otimes_{\mathsf{A}}\widetilde{N}\to\widetilde{N}$. Composing

$$\widetilde{N}\to N'\to Q_S(\mathsf{A})\otimes_{\mathsf{A}}N'\xrightarrow{\cong}Q_S(\mathsf{A})\otimes_{\mathsf{A}}\widetilde{N}\to\widetilde{N}$$

splits the inclusion $\widetilde{N}\to N'$, and split maps do not contribute to the colimit. Note that the above proof does not use equivariance anywhere, hence the second and third statements are also immediate. □

Returning to the proof of the proposition, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}\left(\pi_cM,\pi_c\,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(N)\right)&=\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathsf{A},G,c)}\left(M,\Gamma_c\pi_c\,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(N)\right)\\
&=\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathsf{A},G,c)}\left(M,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(N)\right)\ \text{ by Lemma 6.5}\\
&=\mathrm{Hom}_{(Q_S(\mathsf{A}),G,c)}\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(M),N\right)\\
&=\mathrm{Hom}_{(Q_S(\mathsf{A}),G,c)}(j_S^*\pi(M),N).
\end{aligned}$$

Since π is essentially surjective, this proves the first statement of the proposition. The exactness statement is immediate from the formulation. Preservation of injectives follows from flatness of $Q_S(\mathsf{A})$ over A by Lemma 6.6 below. □

Lemma 6.6. *If F is a functor of abelian categories with an exact left adjoint, then F takes injectives to injectives.*

Proposition 6.7. *Let $M = j_{S*}N \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}$. Then $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(M) \cong \mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(N)$.*

Proof. Choose an injective resolution $N \to I^\bullet$. By Proposition 6.4, $j_{S*}N \to j_{S*}I^\bullet$ is an injective resolution. Now

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(j_{S*}N) \simeq \Gamma_c j_{S*}I^\bullet \cong \mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(I^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}(N);$$

here the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 6.4(1) and Lemma 6.5, and the third isomorphism follows from exactness of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_S(\mathsf{A})}$. □

6.4. **Čech Complex.** Choose a finite collection $\{f_i \mid 0 \le i \le n\}$ of nonzero homogeneous G-semi-invariants, so that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} D(f_i) = \mathsf{W}^{ss}$. For each nonempty subset $I \subseteq \{0, \dots, n\}$ we let $S_I = \{f_i \mid i \in I\}$. For any A-module M, we get

$$M_I \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Res}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_{S_I}(\mathsf{A})} \circ \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{A}}^{Q_{S_I}(\mathsf{A})}(M) = Q_{S_I}(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{\mathsf{A}} M.$$

Given $I \subseteq J \subseteq \{0, \dots, n\}$, we get a map $M_I \to M_J$ induced by the natural map $Q_{S_I}(\mathsf{A}) \to Q_{S_J}(\mathsf{A})$. We form the *Čech complex* $\check{C}^\bullet(M)$ with

$$\check{C}^k(M) = \prod_{|I|=k+1} M_I,$$

and differentials defined as in [H, Section III.4]. Note that $\check{C}^\bullet(M) = \check{C}^\bullet(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{\mathsf{A}} M$ for all A-modules M. As above, if M lies in $(\mathsf{A}, G) - \mathrm{mod}$ or $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$, then $\check{C}^\bullet(M)$ is a complex in the same category.

Theorem 6.8. *For every M in $(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$, we have*

1. $\pi_c M \simeq \pi_c \check{C}^\bullet(M)$*, and*
2. $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c \circ \pi_c(M) \simeq \check{C}^\bullet(M)$.

Proof. Let $\mathsf{A} - \mathrm{mod}^{uns}$ denote the full subcategory of objects with unstable singular support. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{incl}"] & \mathsf{A} - \mathrm{mod} / \mathsf{A} - \mathrm{mod}^{uns} \\
(\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod} \arrow[u, "\pi_c"] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{incl}"] & \mathsf{A} - \mathrm{mod} \arrow[u, "\overline{\pi}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

with faithful rows. To check that the canonical map $\pi_c M \to \pi_c \check{C}^\bullet(M)$ is a quasi-isomorphism, then, it is enough to check after applying the functor incl, hence to check that $\overline{\pi} M \simeq \overline{\pi} \check{C}^\bullet(M)$. Choose a finite, free A-module resolution $F^\bullet \to M$ where $F^i \cong \mathsf{A}^{I_i}$ for some index set I_i. We get a map $\overline{\pi} F^\bullet \to \overline{\pi} \check{C}^\bullet(F^\bullet)$, and if this is a quasi-isomorphism (replacing the second double complex by its totalization) then the conclusion follows for M. Since both $\overline{\pi}$ and $\check{C}^\bullet$ commute with colimits, the quasi-isomorphism for $F^\bullet$ reduces to the corresponding statement for A itself.

Now equip all $Q_{S_I}(\mathsf{A})$ with the standard filtrations; we have $\mathrm{gr}(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathsf{A})) \cong \check{C}^\bullet(\mathrm{gr}(\mathsf{A}))$ and thus the associated graded of $\mathsf{A} \to \check{C}^\bullet(\mathsf{A}))$ is the natural map $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}] \to \check{C}^\bullet(\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}])$. The target of this last map is a complex of $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$-modules that computes $H^\bullet(\mathsf{W}^{ss}, \mathcal{O})$. Since W is affine we have

$$\mathrm{supp}(H^i(\mathsf{W}^{ss}, \mathcal{O})) \subseteq \mathsf{W}^{uns} \quad \text{for } i > 0 \text{, implying}$$

$$SS\big(\mathrm{Cone}[\mathsf{A} \to \check{C}^\bullet(\mathsf{A})]\big) \subseteq \mathsf{W}^{uns}.$$

It follows that $\overline{\pi}\mathsf{A} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \overline{\pi}\check{C}^\bullet(\mathsf{A})$ is a quasi-isomorphism, proving (1).

For part (2), we have: $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(\pi_c(M)) \simeq \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(\pi_c \check{C}^\bullet(M)) \simeq \check{C}^\bullet(M)$, where the first isomorphism comes from part (1) of the present theorem and the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 6.7 and Proposition 6.4(1). ☐

6.5. **Rees Modules.** Suppose now that M is an A-module equipped with a good filtration. We obtain the associated Rees module $\mathcal{R}(M) = \oplus_k F_k(M)t^k \subseteq M[t]$. This is an $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A})$-module. Each localization $Q_S(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{\mathsf{A}} M$ comes equipped with a filtration as well, and $\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))$ is a complex of graded $\mathcal{R}$-modules. Moreover, we have the following:

Proposition 6.9.

1. $\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))$ *is a complex of torsion-free, hence flat,* $\mathbf{C}[t]$*-modules.*
2. $\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))/(t-a) \cong \check{C}^\bullet(M)$ *as* $\mathcal{R}/(t-a)\mathcal{R} \cong \mathsf{A}$*-modules for every* $a \neq 0$.
3. $\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))/(t) \cong \check{C}^\bullet(\mathrm{gr}(M))$ *as* $\mathbf{C}[\mathsf{W}]$*-modules.*

Here part (3) follows from Lemma 6.1(4).

Corollary 6.10. *If* M *is a* (G,c)*-equivariant* A*-module that is finitely generated over* A*, then* $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\pi_c(M)$ *has cohomologies that are finitely generated over* U_c.

Proof. By Theorem 6.8(2), Lemma 5.1(2) and Lemma 4.4, it suffices to show that the cohomologies of $\check{C}^\bullet(M)^G$ are finitely generated over U_c. By Proposition 6.9 parts (1) and (2), it is enough to prove that the cohomologies of $\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))^G$ are finitely generated over $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$. By Proposition 6.9 parts (1) and (3), we can establish this by showing that the cohomologies of $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathrm{gr}(M))^G$ are finitely generated over $\mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]^G$.

By the hypotheses, M admits a G-stable good filtration F. Since M is (G,c)-equivariant, its singular support lies in $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Thus $\mathrm{gr}_F(M)$ is a G-equivariant finitely generated $\mathbf{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)]$-module. Let $p : \mu^{-1}(0)^{ss} \to \mathfrak{X}$ denote the GIT quotient map; then $\mathsf{M} = p_*^G\big(M|_{\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss}}\big)$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module. Since the complex $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathrm{gr}(M))^G$ represents $\mathbb{R}f_*\,\mathrm{gr}(\mathsf{M})$ and f is a projective morphism, the complex has coherent cohomologies. This proves the final statement of the previous paragraph, hence the corollary. ☐

Lemma 6.11 (Lemma III.12.3 of [H])**.** *Let* R *be a Noetherian ring and let* $C^\bullet$ *be a bounded-above complex of left* R*-modules such that for each* i*,* $H^i(C^\bullet)$ *is a finitely generated* R*-module. Then there is a bounded-above complex* $L^\bullet$ *of finitely generated free* R*-modules and a quasi-isomorphism* $g : L^\bullet \to C^\bullet$*. Furthermore, if* $S \subseteq R$ *is a central subring of* R *and all* C^i *are flat over* S*, then for any* S*-module* M*, the induced map* $g \otimes 1_M : L^\bullet \otimes M \to C^\bullet \otimes M$ *is a quasi-isomorphism.*

We may apply Lemma 6.11 to $\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))$ to obtain a bounded-above complex of finitely generated free $\mathcal{R}$-modules $\mathcal{H}^\bullet$ with $\mathcal{H}^\bullet \simeq \mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))$, compatibly with $\mathbf{C}[t]$-base change.

There is an $\mathcal{R}$-module version of the quantum Hamiltonian reduction functor $\mathbb{H}_c$. Namely, the module $M_c^\dagger$ is naturally a filtered (U_c, A)-bimodule, and so $\mathcal{R}(M_c^\dagger)$ is a graded $(\mathcal{R}(U_c), \mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A}))$-bimodule. Defining

$$\mathbb{H}_t(-) = \mathcal{R}(M_c^\dagger) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A})} -$$

we get a functor from graded $\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A})$-modules to graded $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-modules.

Lemma 6.12. *For any* (G,c)*-equivariant* A*-module* M*, we have*

$$\mathbb{H}_t\big(\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))\big) \simeq \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\pi_c(M))$$

as $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$*-modules. In particular,*

1. $\mathbb{H}_t\big(\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))\big)/(t-a) \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\pi_c(M)$ *for all* $a \neq 0$ *as* $\mathcal{R}(U_c)/(t-a) \cong U_c$*-modules.*
2. $\mathbb{H}_t\big(\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))\big)/(t) \simeq \mathbb{R}f_*(\mathrm{gr}(M)|_{\mathfrak{X}})$ *for* $a = 0$ *as* $\mathrm{gr}(U_c) = \mathbf{C}[X]$*-modules.*

Replacing $\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M))$ by $\mathcal{H}^\bullet$ using Lemma 6.11, we get

$$\mathbb{H}_t(\mathcal{H}^\bullet) \simeq \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\pi_c(M)),$$

again compatibly with $\mathbf{C}[t]$-base change. Since $\mathbb{H}_t(\mathcal{H}^\bullet)$ is a complex of modules flat over $\mathbf{C}[t]$ and has cohomologies finitely generated over $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$, we can again replace it with a bounded-above complex of finitely generated free $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-modules together with a quasi-isomorphism to $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\pi(M))$ that remains a quasi-isomorphism after arbitrary $\mathbf{C}[t]$-base change.

6.6. **Proof of Theorem 5.5.** The homomorphism in the theorem comes from an adjunction morphism

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \to \mathsf{f}^!\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) = \mathsf{f}^! U_c.$$

The statement of the theorem is equivalent to this adjunction morphism being an isomorphism in $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$. It suffices to check that the induced map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(-, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(-, \mathsf{f}^! U_c)$$

is an isomorphism for a set of generators of $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$: if it is, then the cone of the adjunction must be zero. Thus, we may prove the theorem by checking that (5.1) is an isomorphism for a collection of compact generators of $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$. By the proof of Proposition 4.15, the objects $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^\ell)[n]$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, generate, and thus in particular it is sufficiently to prove that (5.1) is an isomorphism for objects $\pi_c(M_c(\chi^\ell))[n]$.

Let $M = \pi_c \widetilde{M}[n] \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}$, where $\widetilde{M} = M_c(\chi^\ell)$ is equipped with the usual good filtration coming as a quotient of A. We have a natural map

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(M, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{U_c}(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*M, \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) = \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{U_c}(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*M, U_c) \tag{6.1}$$

by functoriality. We define

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))}\big(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))\big) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A})}\big(\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{M}), \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c \circ \pi_c(M_c))\big)^G.$$

We then get a natural map

$$\begin{multline} \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))}\big(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))\big) \to \\ \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}(U_c)}\big(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{R}(M), \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))\big) = \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}(U_c)}(\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}(U_c)) \end{multline} \tag{6.2}$$

where the terms in the middle $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}$ are defined via $\mathbb{H}_t(\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(-)))$. All of the $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}$s in (6.1) are naturally right U_c-modules (and those in (6.2) are right $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-modules).

Using Lemma 6.11, we can replace $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{R}(M)$ with a bounded-above complex of finitely generated free $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-modules, compatibly with $\mathbf{C}[t]$-base change. Then the right-hand side of (6.2) naturally becomes a bounded-below complex of finitely generated free $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-modules. Moreover, $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{R}(M)$ is cohomologically bounded and has finitely generated cohomologies (Corollary 6.10); since $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$ is Gorenstein (Lemma 3.13), the right-hand side of (6.2) is itself cohomologically bounded with finitely generated cohomologies. Thus, applying Lemma 6.11, we can replace the right-hand side of (6.2) with a bounded-above complex with the same properties.

We claim next that the domain of (6.2) can be replaced with a bounded-above complex of finitely generated free $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-modules, compatibly with $\mathbf{C}[t]$-base change, that is cohomologically bounded. We use the adjoint pair $(\pi_c, \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c)$ to write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))}\big(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))\big) &= \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A}),G,c)}\big(\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{M}), \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c \circ \pi_c(M_c))\big) \\ &= \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A}),G)}\big(\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A} \otimes \chi^\ell)[n], \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c \circ \pi_c(M_c))\big); \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

here we have used (4.4) for $M_c(\chi^\ell)$ to obtain the second equality via the adjunction $(\mathbb{L}\Phi_c, \mathrm{sap}_c)$.

The right-hand side of (6.3) is identified with

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A})}\big(\mathcal{R}(\mathsf{A} \otimes \chi^\ell)[n], \mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M_c))\big)^G = \mathcal{R}\big(\check{C}^\bullet(M_c)\big)^{G,\chi^\ell}[n].$$

This is cohomologically bounded with finitely generated cohomologies by Theorem 6.8(2) and Corollary 6.10. Thus we may apply Lemma 6.11 to conclude that the domain of (6.2) can be replaced with a bounded-above and cohomologically bounded complex of finitely generated free $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-modules. This identification is compatible with $\mathbf{C}[t]$-base change by Lemma 6.11 since $\mathcal{R}(\check{C}^\bullet(M_c))^G$ is $\mathbf{C}[t]$-flat.

Finally, then, we take the cone Cone of the morphism of replacements to obtain a bounded-above complex of finitely generated free $\mathcal{R}(U_c)$-modules that is cohomologically bounded. By the compatibility with $\mathbf{C}[t]$-base change and Lemma 6.12, "classical" Serre duality implies that $\mathsf{Cone}/(t) \simeq 0$ (here we are using Assumptions 3.2(2a),(2b) to guarantee that the dualizing sheaves are trivial). An inductive application of Nakayama's Lemma (Theorem 2.17 of [GW]) then implies that $\mathsf{Cone} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[t]} \mathbf{C}[t]_{(t)} \simeq 0$. Since Cone is a cohomologically bounded complex of finitely generated modules, there is an $f \in \mathbf{C}[t] \smallsetminus (t)$ such that $\mathsf{Cone} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[t]} \mathbf{C}[t]_f \simeq 0$; specializing to some a for which $f(a) \neq 0$ gives $\mathsf{Cone}/(t-a) \simeq 0$. By the compatibility with $\mathbf{C}[t]$-base change, moreover, $\mathsf{Cone}/(t-a)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the cone of (6.1). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

7. Derived Equivalence

7.1. **Equivalence Theorem.** Recall that a functor of unbounded derived categories is said to be *bounded* if it induces a functor from the bounded derived subcategory to the bounded derived subcategory. In the case of $\mathbb{L}f^*$ for a morphism of schemes, for example, this is equivalent to saying that f *has finite Tor-dimension.*

Given $F \in D(U_c)$, there is an adjunction morphism $F \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*F$.

Lemma 7.1. *The adjunction*

$$F \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*F$$

is an isomorphism for all F in $D(U_c)$.

Proof. Suppose that F is perfect, and write $F \simeq P^\bullet$ where $P^\bullet$ is a bounded-above complex of free modules. Then

$$\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*F \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes_{U_c} P^\bullet \simeq P^\bullet.$$

Furthermore, both functors $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ commute with colimits. Since $D(U_c)$ is compactly generated, every object is a colimit of compact objects (Lemma 2.6), and the lemma follows. □

Note that Lemma 7.1 can be seen as a special case of the projection formula.

The main result of the paper is the following.

Theorem 7.2. *Suppose that $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is bounded. Then:*

1. *The functor $\mathsf{f}^!$ preserves coproducts.*
2. *The functors $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$ form mutually quasi-inverse equivalences of the unbounded derived categories,*

$$\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* : D(U_c) \leftrightarrows D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)) : \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*,$$

that restrict to equivalences of the bounded derived categories.

Part (1) of the theorem is analogous to part of Theorem 1.2 of [LN] (in the commutative case).

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 7.2.** We begin with some general facts we will need.

For a right U_c-module F, or more generally an object of $D(\mathrm{mod}-U_c)$, define

$$\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}(F) = \pi_c(F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{U_c} M_c^\dagger) \in D(\mathrm{mod}-\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)),$$

where π_c is the right module analog of the projection functor.

Lemma 7.3. *If $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is bounded, so is $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}$.*

Remark 7.4. The lemma may be compared with Corollary 7.6 below. Indeed, boundedness of $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ follows if U_c has finite left global dimension; and since U_c is a noetherian domain, this is equivalent to U_c having finite right global dimension, which itself implies boundedness of $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}$.

Proof of Lemma 7.3. Assume that $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is bounded. Suppose that F is an object of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}-U_c)$; we need to show that $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}F$ is a cohomologically bounded complex. It follows from Lemma 3.13 that the Verdier duality functor $\mathbb{D}_{U_c}(-) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{U_c}(-, U_c)$ induces an equivalence between $D^b(\mathrm{mod}-U_c)$ and $D^b(U_c - \mathrm{mod})$, so we may write $F = \mathbb{D}_{U_c}(F')$ where F' is cohomologically bounded. Now (applying Remark 4.10 to descend Verdier duality to the microlocal category) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{D}\big(\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}(F)\big) &= \pi_c\, \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{U_c} M_c^{\dagger}, \mathsf{A}) \\
&\cong \pi_c\, \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom} U_c\big(F, \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{A}}(M_c^{\dagger}, \mathsf{A})\big) \qquad \text{by Adjoint Associativity} \\
&\cong \pi_c\, \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{U_c}(F, M_c[-g]) \qquad \text{by Lemma 3.14} \\
&\cong \pi_c\, M_c[-g] \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{U_c} \mathbb{D}_{U_c}(F) = \mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* F'.
\end{aligned}$$

Boundedness of F' and $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ thus together imply that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{A}}\big(\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}(F)\big)$ is cohomologically bounded, and thus so is $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}(F)$. □

Proof of Theorem 7.2. Suppose that $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is bounded. To prove that $\mathsf{f}^!$ preserves coproducts, it follows from Theorem 5.1 of [Ne] that it is enough to show that f_* takes a set of compact generators to compact objects.

We will use the compact objects $M = \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) \otimes \chi^{\ell} = \pi(M_c(\chi^{\ell}))$ from Proposition 4.15 and show that, for each such M, $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*M$ is perfect; since these objects and their shifts generate, this suffices. To prove that $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*M$ is perfect, Lemma 2.5 tells us that it suffices to prove that for each right U_c-module F, the complex $F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*M$ is cohomologically bounded. To prove the latter statement, we use the Čech complex of M to write:

$$\begin{aligned}
F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*M &\cong F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{U_c} \big(M_c^{\dagger} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathsf{A}} C^{\bullet}(M_c(\chi^{\ell}))\big) \\
&\cong \big(F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{U_c} M_c^{\dagger}\big) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathsf{A}} C^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}) \otimes_{\mathsf{A}} (M_c(\chi^{\ell})) \\
&\cong \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c\big(\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}(F)\big) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathsf{A}} (M_c(\chi^{\ell})).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

We note that we are entitled to omit taking G-invariants starting from the first line of (7.1) by Lemma 4.4 since $M_c(\chi^{\ell})$ is (G, c)-equivariant. Since M_c has a finite projective A-resolution (for example by Theorem 2.3.7 of [Bj1]), the final term in (7.1) has bounded cohomology provided $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*_{rt}$ is a bounded functor. By Lemma 7.3 and our assumption, then, the left-hand side of (7.1) is also bounded.

Suppose next that statement (1) of the theorem holds. To prove the equivalence statement of the theorem, we apply Proposition 2.1. Condition (C1) of the proposition is satisfied by Proposition 4.13(3). Condition (C2) of the proposition is satisfied by Lemma 5.1(4). That condition (C3) of the proposition holds was established at the beginning of this proof. Condition (C4) of the proposition is satisfied by Corollary 5.6. Condition (C5) of the proposition is satisfied by Lemma 7.1. Condition (C6) of the proposition is satisfied by Proposition 4.15. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 7.5. *Suppose that μ, X, $\mathfrak{X}$, and $f : \mathfrak{X} \to X$ satisfy Assumptions 3.2. Consider the following assertions.*

(1) *The algebra U_c has finite global dimension.*

(2) *The functor $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is cohomologically bounded (that is, preserves complexes with cohomologies in only finitely many degrees).*

(3) *The functor* $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ *induces an exact equivalence of bounded derived categories,*

$$\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* : D^b(U_c) \to D^b(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)).$$

Then (1) $\Longrightarrow$ *(2)* $\Longrightarrow$ *(3). If* G *acts freely on* $\mu^{-1}(0)^{ss}$*, so* $\mathfrak{X}$ *is smooth, then (3)* $\Longrightarrow$ *(1).*

Before proving the corollary, we introduce a general notion. Let C be a triangulated category with a t-structure. We will say an exact functor $C \xrightarrow{h} D(\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is *bounded by* N if, for all $a \leq b$, $h(C^{[a,b]}) \subseteq D^{[a-N,b+N]}(\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbf{C}})$. We will say h is *bounded* if it is bounded by N for some N.

Proof of Corollary 7.5. (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) is clear. Theorem 7.2 gives (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3).

We wish to prove that (3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1). Observe that, by [MR, 7.1.8, 7.1.5 and 7.1.10(i)], it suffices to show that for all finitely generated $L \in U_c - \mathrm{mod}$, the functor $- \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{U_c} L : D^b(U_c) \to D(\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is bounded. Since U_c is Gorenstein, this is equivalent to showing that every $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{U_c}(L, -)$ is bounded. Via $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$, this is equivalent to showing $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c)}(L, -)$ is bounded for every $L \in D^b(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$. Writing $L = \pi(\widetilde{L})$ for some $L \in (\mathsf{A}, G, c) - \mathrm{mod}$, this amounts to showing that $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathsf{A},G,c)}(\widetilde{L}, \mathbb{R}\Gamma(-))$ is bounded. By Theorem 6.8 and a spectral sequence argument, this reduces to showing that for every finite set S of semi-invariants as in Section 6.2, the functor $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathcal{D},G,c)}(\widetilde{L}, j_{S*}(-))$ with domain $Q_S(\mathsf{A}) - \mathrm{mod}$ is bounded. By adjunction and exactness of j_S^*, this is equivalent to proving boundedness of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{(Q_S(\mathsf{A}),G,c)}(j_S^*\widetilde{L}, -)$. Now the bounded follows from smoothness of $\mathfrak{X}$ by Proposition 3.1 of [Bj2]. □

Corollary 7.6. *If* U_c *has finite global dimension, then* $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ *is an equivalence of categories.*

7.3. **Flag Varieties.** There is a nice class of algebraic varieties called *Mori dream spaces* [HK], which includes flag varieties, smooth projective toric varieties, and (more generally) spherical varieties. It is shown in [HK, Corollary 2.4] that such varieties arise as GIT quotients of (possibly singular) affine varieties by torus actions.

We are interested in the case when the Mori dream space is nonsingular, particularly the examples of complete flag varieties G/B (which are GIT quotients of the *basic affine space* $\overline{G/U}$ by the action of the torus $H = B/U$ at a dominant regular character χ), and we focus on this last example. We intend to return to the more general setting of Mori dream spaces elsewhere.

There is a standard embedding of $\overline{G/U}$ in the product $\mathbb{V} = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r$ of fundamental representations of G (here we assume G is semisimple, connected, and simply connected). Let $\pi : T^*\mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ denote the projection. It is easy to compute that, for a dominant regular $\chi : H \to \mathbb{G}_m$, the unstable locus of the action of H on $T^*\mathbb{V}$ is exactly

$$\bigcup_i \pi^{-1}\big(V_1 \times \cdots \times V_{i-1} \times \{0\} \times V_{i+1} \times \cdots \times V_r\big),$$

and its intersection with $\pi^{-1}(\overline{G/U})$ is exactly $\pi^{-1}(\overline{G/U} \smallsetminus G/U)$. Thus, it is natural to view the category of (H, c)-equivariant crystals on $\overline{G/U}$ as a natural analog of $(\mathcal{D}(Z), H, c)-\mathrm{mod}$ for a smooth variety Z, and to generalize our results to crystals to realize $\mathcal{D}_{G/B}(c)-\mathrm{mod}$ as a microlocal category $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c) - \mathrm{mod}$.

Although this can apparently be done, it is easier to simply apply Proposition 2.1 directly in this setting. Indeed, our proofs above easily adapt (sometimes with far less difficulty) to prove the following. We use the standard notation $\mathrm{Loc}(M) = \mathcal{D}_{G/B}(\lambda) \otimes_{U_\lambda} M$ in place of $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ (and $\mathbb{R}\Gamma$ in place of $\mathbb{R}\mathsf{f}_*$).

Proposition 7.7. *Choose a character* $\lambda : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbf{C}$ *and let* $D(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}(\lambda))$ *denote the derived category of* λ*-twisted* $\mathcal{D}$*-modules on* G/B*. Then:*

(1) $D(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}(\lambda))$ *is compactly generated.*
(2) $\mathbb{R}\Gamma : D(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}(\lambda)) \to D(U_c)$ *preserves coproducts.*

(3) $\mathbb{R}\Gamma$ *takes a set of compact generators to compact objects.*
(4) *The adjunction* $1 \to \mathbb{R}\Gamma \circ \mathbb{L}\,\mathrm{Loc}$ *is an isomorphism.*
(5) $D(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}(\lambda))$ *is indecomposable.*

In order to establish a derived analog of Beilinson-Bernstein localization, then, all that remains is to prove the analog of Proposition 2.1(C4) for the generator U_λ. This can be done just as we do it above if (and only if) the derived localization functor $\mathbb{L}\,\mathrm{Loc}$ is cohomologically bounded; note that the arguments use more familiar technology since the Čech complex, for example, is the usual one. Derived localization then follows from:

Lemma 7.8 ([BMR], Lemma 3.3.4 and Corollary 3.3.5)**.** *The functor* $\mathrm{Loc} = \mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ *is cohomologically bounded provided* λ *is regular.*

Corollary 7.9 (Derived Beilinson-Bernstein)**.** *The categories* $D(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}(\lambda))$ *and* $D(U_\lambda)$ *are equivalent if* λ *is regular.*

We remark that one—perhaps the only—advantage of this approach (besides the possible conceptual advantage that, unlike the "classical" proof, we do not use the left B-action on G/B or $\overline{G/U}$, only, morally speaking, the H-action on $\overline{G/U}$) is that it seems to be relatively easy to prove that Loc is a cohomologically bounded functor when λ is a regular weight: cf. the elegant and short proof of [BMR]. By contrast, it seems to be no easier to prove that U_λ has finite global dimension than to prove that localization holds.

7.4. **Wreath Product Spherical Rational Cherednik Algebras.** Fix integers $\ell, n > 1$ and let $\eta = e^{2\pi i/\ell}$.

Let $\mu_\ell \cong \Gamma \subset SL(2)$ be the cyclic subgroup of $SL(2)$ generated by the matrix $\sigma = \mathrm{diag}(\eta, \eta^{-1})$. Let $\Gamma_n = S_n \wr \mu_\ell$ denote the semidirect product of S_n and Γ^n; this is the *wreath product* of the cyclic group. For an element $\gamma \in \mu_\ell$ and an integer $1 \le i \le b$, write γ_i to mean $(1, \dots, 1, \gamma, 1, \dots, 1) \in \Gamma^n$, where γ appears in the ith factor.

Etingof-Ginzburg [EG] associate to Γ_n a *rational Cherednik algebra* defined as follows. The elements $s_{ij}\gamma_i\gamma_j^{-1}$, $1 \le i, j \le n$, $\gamma \in \mu_\ell \smallsetminus \{1\}$ lie in a single conjugacy class S of symplectic reflections in $S_n \wr \mu_\ell$. Moreover, for $1 \le m \le \ell - 1$, the elements $(\sigma^m)_i$ are symplectic reflections lying in distinct conjugacy classes C_ℓ (the class does not depend on i). It turns out that this is a complete list of conjugacy classes of symplectic reflections in $S_n \wr \mu_\ell$. Choosing $k \in \mathbf{C}$ and $c \in \mathbf{C}^{\ell-1}$, we get a function on the set of such conjugacy classes that assigns k to S and c_m to $(\sigma^m)_i$. Writing $V = (\mathbf{C}^2)^n$ with basis x_i, y_i, the Cherednik algebra $\mathsf{H}_{k,c}$ is the quotient of $T^\bullet(V) * (S_n \wr \mu_\ell)$ by the relations:

$$[x_i, x_j] = 0, \qquad [y_i, y_j] = 0 \qquad \text{for all } 1 \le i, j \le n;$$

$$y_i x_i - x_i y_i = 1 + k \sum_{j \ne i} \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_\ell} s_{ij}\gamma_i\gamma_j^{-1} + \sum_{\gamma \in \mu_\ell \smallsetminus \{1\}} c_\gamma \gamma_i \qquad \text{for all } 1 \le i \le n;$$

$$y_i x_j - x_j y_i = -k \sum_{m=0}^{\ell-1} \eta^m s_{ij} (\sigma^m)_i (\sigma^m)_j^{-1} \qquad \text{for } i \ne j.$$

Writing $e = \dfrac{1}{|S_n \wr \mu_\ell|} \sum_{w \in S_n \wr \mu_\ell} w$ for the symmetrizing idempotent, the *spherical subalgebra* is $\mathsf{U}_{k,c} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} e\mathsf{H}_{k,c}e$.

We recall, following [O, Go], the construction of the spherical subalgebra of the rational Cherednik algebra via quantum Hamiltonian reduction. Namely, let Q be a cyclic quiver with ℓ vertices and cyclic orientation. Choose an extending vertex denoted 0. Let Q_∞ denote the quiver obtained by adding one vertex ∞ to Q joined to 0 by a single arrow. Let $\overline{Q}_\infty$ denote the doubled quiver. Let

$\delta = (1, \ldots, 1)$ be the affine dimension vector of Q and let $\epsilon = e_\infty + n\delta$, a dimension vector for Q_∞. Let

$$\mathrm{Rep}(Q_\infty, \epsilon) = (\bigoplus_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \mathfrak{gl}_n) \oplus \mathbf{C}^n = \{(X_0, \ldots, X_{\ell-1}, i)\}.$$

Let $G = \prod_{r=0}^{\ell-1} GL(n)$ act on $\mathrm{Rep}(Q_\infty, \epsilon)$ by

$$(g_0, \ldots, g_{\ell-1}) \cdot (X_0, \ldots, X_{\ell-1}, i) = (g_0X_0g_1^{-1}, g_1X_1g_2^{-1}, \ldots, g_{\ell-1}X_{\ell-1}g_0^{-1}, g_0 i).$$

For each $(c_0, \ldots, c_{\ell-1}) \in \mathbf{C}^\ell$ and $k \in \mathbf{C}$, define a Lie algebra character $\chi_{k,c} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbf{C}$ as a sum $\chi_{k,c} = \chi_c + \chi_k$ by:

(1) $\chi_c(X_0, \ldots, X_{\ell-1}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} C_r \,\mathrm{Tr}(X_r)$, where

$$C_r = \ell^{-1}\Big(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} \eta^{mr} c_m\Big) \text{ for } 1 \le r \le \ell-1, \text{ and } C_0 = \ell^{-1}\Big(1 - \ell - \sum_{m=1}^{\ell-1} c_m\Big).$$

(2) $\chi_k(X_0, \ldots, X_{\ell-1}) = k\,\mathrm{Tr}(X_0)$.

Let $U_{k,c}$ denote the algebra associated by quantum Hamiltonian reduction to the G-action on $W = \mathrm{Rep}(Q_\infty, \epsilon)$ with Lie algebra character $\chi_{k,c}$ (note that we are suppressing dependence on n). Then:

Theorem 7.10 ([Go, EGGO])**.** *$U_{-k,-c}$ is isomorphic to the spherical subalgebra $\mathsf{U}_{k,c}$ of the rational Cherednik algebra $\mathsf{H}_{k,c}$ associated to Γ_n with parameters k, c.*

By Theorem 3.2.3 of [GG], the moment map for the G-action on $W = \mathrm{Rep}(Q_\infty, \epsilon)$ is flat. By Lemma 3.9, Assumptions 3.2(2a),(2b),(3) will hold whenever $\mathfrak{X} \to X$ is a symplectic resolution. See [Go2] for a discussion of the (nonempty) set of characters χ of G for which $\mu^{-1}(0)/\!\!/_\chi G \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^n(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}^2/\mu_\ell})$, the Hilbert scheme of n points on the smooth surface $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}^2/\mu_\ell}$ obtained as the minimal resolution of the quotient singularity $\mathbf{C}^2/\mu_\ell$.

Finally, we need to know for which values of k, c the functor $\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^*$ is bounded. By Theorem 5.5 of [Et2], this fails if and only if (k, C) is an *aspherical value* of the parameter. The aspherical values are classified by [DG]. Changing notation to be consistent with what we have written above, one finds:

Corollary 7.11 (Theorem 1.1 of [DG] and Theorem 1.1)**.** *The quantum Hamiltonian reduction algebra $U_{-k,-C}$ has infinite global dimension in the following cases.*

(1) *$k = \frac{u}{v}$ for integers $1 \le u < v \le n$.*

(2) *There exist a partition $\lambda \in P_n$, an integer $0 \le a \le \ell - 1$, and an integer $b \not\equiv 0 \mod \ell$ with $1 \le b \le a + \ell(\ln(\lambda) - 1)$ for which*

$$\frac{2b}{\ell} - ([\frac{b-a}{\ell}] + 1) = \sum_{s=1}^{b} C_{a-b+s} - k(\lambda_1 - \ln(\lambda))$$

In all other cases, $U_{-k,-C}$ has finite global dimension, and hence derived microlocalization holds.

7.5. **Deformation Quantization Modules.** As the structure of our proof of Theorem 7.2 suggests, the strategy used to establish derived equivalences in this paper is applicable in a number of other contexts. In this section we sketch a version of our main result in the context of deformation quantization. See [KS2] for a general reference in the context of algebroids and [BK] for the algebraic case.

Let $f\colon \mathfrak{X} \to X$ be a symplectic resolution of an affine symplectic variety X. By Lemma 3.9, $H^1(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}) = H^2(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}) = 0$, and therefore $\mathfrak{X}$ is an *admissible* manifold in the sense of [BK]. Moreover if $Q(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega)$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of quantizations of the symplectic manifold

$(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega)$, then the period map Per: $Q(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega) \to H^2_{DR}(\mathfrak{X})[\![\hbar]\!]$ of that paper is surjective, so that one even has a *canonical quantization* corresponding to the (constant) class of the symplectic form in $H^2_{DR}(\mathfrak{X})[\![\hbar]\!]$. Let $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathfrak{X}}$ be this quantization, and let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}} = \mathbf{C}(\!(\hbar)\!) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[\![\hbar]\!]} \mathcal{W}'_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

Mimicking [KR], we assume that we have a sequence $\mathcal{L}_i$ of $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathfrak{X}}$-modules which quantize an f-ample sequence $(L_i)_{i\geq 0}$ of line bundles on $\mathfrak{X}$, and base-change them to $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-modules. We say a $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module M is *good* if it admits a (global) $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathfrak{X}}$-lattice, i.e. a coherent $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathfrak{X}}$-submodule $M' \subset M$ such that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{W}'_{\mathfrak{X}}} M' = M$ (cf. also [KR, KS2]). Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}\text{-mod}_{\text{good}}$ denote the category of good $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-modules, and write (slightly abusively) $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}\text{-mod}$ for the associated ind-category.

Theorem 7.12. *Let $U_\hbar = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}})$. Suppose that $U_\hbar$ has finite global dimension. Then the functor $G(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathcal{M})$ gives an equivalence between $D^b(\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{X}}\text{-mod})$ and $D^b(U_\hbar\text{-mod})$.*

The proof of this theorem involves establishing the conditions (C1) to (C6) of Section 2 in the deformation quantization context, exactly in parallel with our proof of Theorem 1.1.

7.6. **Non-Affine Quantizations.** Theorem 1.1 generalizes to non-affine situations in which a good quotient (in the GIT sense) exists. More precisely, suppose W is a smooth symplectic G-variety with moment map $\mu : \mathsf{W} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ and that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ comes with a good quotient map $q : \mu^{-1}(0) \to X$: in other words, an affine G-invariant morphism so that $q_*^G \mathcal{O}_{\mu^{-1}(0)} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Suppose that W comes equipped with a filtered quantization A, i.e. a sheaf of filtered rings whose associated graded is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{W}}$, satisfying the conditions of Section 3.5. Then U_c is naturally defined as a sheaf of rings on X, and the microlocal category $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$ quantizes the GIT quotient $\mathfrak{X} = \mu^{-1}(0)^{\chi\text{-ss}}/\!\!/G$. An analog of Theorem 1.1 follows in this setting by standard sheaf properties of DG categories, which in particular yields the following implication.

Theorem 7.13. *Suppose that $q : \mu^{-1}(0) \to X$ is a good quotient (in the GIT sense). Suppose that μ, X, $\mathfrak{X}$, and $f : \mathfrak{X} \to X$ satisfy Assumptions 3.2. If the sheaf of rings U_c is locally of finite global dimension and $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth, then the functor*

$$\mathbb{L}\mathsf{f}^* : D(U_c) \to D(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{X}}(c))$$

defines an exact equivalence of bounded derived categories.

Corollary 1.5 provides an application.

References

[Bea] A. Beauville, Symplectic singularities, *Invent. Math.* **139** (2000), no. 3, 541-549.

[BB] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein, A proof of Jantzen conjectures, *I.M. Gel'fand Seminar*, 1–50, *Adv. Soviet Math.* **16**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[BD] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves, available at `http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~mitya`.

[BG] A. Beilinson and V. Ginzburg, Wall-crossing functors and $\mathcal{D}$-modules, *Represent. Theory* **3** (1999), no. 1, 1–31.

[BKu] G. Bellamy and T. Kuwabara, On deformation quantizations of hypertoric varieties, `arXiv:1005.4645`.

[BFN] D. Ben-Zvi, J. Francis, and D. Nadler, Integral transforms and Drinfeld centers in derived algebraic geometry, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23**, no. 4, 909–966.

[BNa] D. Ben-Zvi and D. Nadler, The character theory of a complex group, `arXiv:0904.1247`.

[BL] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts, Localization for derived categories of $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1995), no. 4, 819–856.

[BK] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin, Fedosov quantization in the algebraic context, *Moscow Math. J.* **4** (2004), no. 3, 559–592.

[BMR] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Mirkovic, and D. Rumynin, Localization of modules for a semisimple Lie algebra in prime characteristic, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **167** (2008), no. 3, 945–991.

[Bj1] J.-E. Björk, *Rings of differential operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.

[Bj2] J.-E. Björk, The Auslander condition on Noetherian rings, in *Séminaire d'Algèbre Dubreil-Malliavin*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1404, 137–173, Springer Verlag, New York, 1989.

[BöN] M. Bökstedt and A. Neeman, Homotopy limits in triangulated categories, *Compositio Math.* **86** (1993), no. 2, 209–234.

[BLPW] T. Braden, A. Licata, N. Proudfoot, and B. Webster, Hypertoric category $\mathcal{O}$, `arXiv:1010.2001`.

[BLPW2] T. Braden, A. Licata, N. Proudfoot, and B. Webster, Quantizations of conical symplectic resolutions, in preparation.

[Br] T. Bridgeland, Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms *Bull. London Math. Soc.* bf 31 (1999), 25–34.

[CB] W. Crawley-Boevey, Geometry of the moment map for representations of quivers, *Compositio Math.* **126** (2001), no. 3, 257–293.

[Dr] V. Drinfeld, DG quotients of DG categories, *J. Algebra* **272** (2004), no. 2, 643–691.

[DG] C. Dunkl and S. Griffeth, Generalized Jack polynomials and the representation theory of rational Cherednik algebras, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **16** (2010), 791–818.

[Ek] E. Ekström, The Auslander condition on graded and filtered Noetherian rings, in *Séminaire d'Algèbre Dubreil-Malliavin*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1404, 220–245, Springer Verlag, New York, 1989.

[Et1] P. Etingof, Cherednik and Hecke algebras of varieties with a finite group action, `arXiv:math/0406499`.

[Et2] P. Etingof, Symplectic reflection algebras and affine Lie algebras, preprint, arXiv:1011.45884

[EGGO] P. Etingof, W.-L. Gan, V. Ginzburg, and A. Oblomkov, Harish-Chandra homomorphisms and symplectic reflection algebras for wreath products, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **105** (2007), 91–155.

[EG] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism, *Invent. Math.* **147** (2002), no. 2, 243–348.

[FG] M. Finkelberg and V. Ginzburg, Cherednik algebras for algebraic curves, in *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups*, 121–153, *Birkhäuser/Springer*, New York, 2010.

[Ga] P. Gabriel, Des catégories abéliennes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **90** (1962), 323–448.

[GG] W. L. Gan and V. Ginzburg, Almost-commuting variety, $\mathcal{D}$-modules, and Cherednik algebras, With an appendix by Ginzburg, *IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap.* (2006), 1–54.

[GW] K. Goodearl and R. Warfield, *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*, London Mathematical Society, Cambridge, 1989.

[GS1] I. Gordon and J. T. Stafford, Rational Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes, *Adv. Math.* **198** (2005), no. 1, 222–274.

[GS2] I. Gordon and J. T. Stafford, Rational Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes II: representations and sheaves, *Duke Math. J.* **132** (2006), no. 1, 73–135.

[Go] I. Gordon, A remark on rational Cherednik algebras and differential operators on the cyclic quiver, *Glasg. Math. J.* **48** (2006), no. 1, 145–160.

[Go2] I. Gordon, Quiver varieties, category $\mathcal{O}$ for rational Cherednik algebras, and Hecke algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Pap.* **2008** (2008), Art. ID rpn006, 69 pages, doi:10.1093/imrp/rpn006.

[H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[Ho] M. Holland, Quantization of the Marsden-Weinstein reduction for extended Dynkin quivers, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **32** (1999), no. 6, 813–834.

[HTT] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, and T. Tanisaki, *$\mathcal{D}$-Modules, Perverse Sheaves, and Representation Theory*, Birkhaüser, 2008.

[HK] Y. Hu and S. Keel, Mori dream spaces and GIT, *Michigan Math. J.* **48** (2000), no. 1, 331–348.

[Ka] M. Kashiwara, Equivariant derived category and representations of real semisimple Lie groups, in *Representation theory and complex analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1931, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

[KR] M. Kashiwara and R. Rouquier, Microlocalization of rational Cherednik algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **144** (2008), no. 3, 525–573.

[KS] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[KS2] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, Deformation quantization modules, Asterisque, *to appear.*

[Ki] A. King, Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **45** (1994), 515–530.

[Li] H. Li, Lifting Ore sets of Noetherian filtered rings and applications, *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), 686–703.

[LN] J. Lipman and A. Neeman, Quasi-perfect scheme-maps and boundedness of the twisted inverse image functor, *Illinois J. Math.* **51** (2007), no. 1, 209–236.

[Lu] J. Lurie, Derived algebraic geometry II: noncommutative algebra, `arXiv:math/0702299`.

[MR] J. McConnell and J. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, corrected printing, American Mathematical Society, 2001.

[McN] K. McGerty and T. Nevins, Microlocal modules and quotient categories, in preparation.

[MV] I. Musson and M. Van den Bergh, Invariants under tori of rings of differential operators and related topics, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (1998), no. 650.

[NV] C. Năstăsescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded and filtered rings and modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 758, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[Ne] A. Neeman, The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 1, 205–236.

[O] A. Oblomkov, Deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism for the cyclic quiver, *Math. Res. Lett.* **14** (2007), no. 3, 359–372.

[Po] N. Popescu, *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules,* Academic Press, New York, 1973.

[Sch] G. Schwarz, Lifting differential operators from orbit spaces, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **28** (1995), no. 3, 253–305.

[SS] S. Schwede and B. Shipley, Stable model categories are categories of modules, *Topology* **42** (2003), no. 1, 103–153.

[T] C. Teleman, The quantization conjecture revisited, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **152** (2000), no. 1, 1–43.

[VdB] M. Van den Bergh, Some generalities on G-equivariant quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.

[VW1] F. Van Oystaeyen and L. Willaert, Grothendieck topology, coherent sheaves, and Serre's theorem for schematic algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **104** (1995), 109–122.

[VW2] F. Van Oystaeyen and L. Willaert, Cohomology of schematic algebras, *J. Algebra* **185** (1996), 74–84.

[YZ1] A. Yekutieli and J. Zhang, Rings with Auslander dualizing complexes, *J. Algebra* **213** (1999), no. 1, 1–51.

[YZ2] A. Yekutieli and J. Zhang, Dualizing complexes and perverse modules over differential algebras, *Compositio Math.* **141** (2005), no. 3, 620–654.

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, England, UK
E-mail address: `kmcgerty@mac.com`

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801 USA
E-mail address: `nevins@illinois.edu`